Jordan Journal of Physics

ARTICLE

Graphene and Related 2D Materials for Desalination: A Review of Recent Patents

M.W. Aqra^a and A.A. Ramanathan^b

^a Technical Support Dept., National Center for Research and Development, Amman-11941, Jordan.

^b The University of Jordan, Amman-11942, Jordan.

Doi: https://doi.org/10.47011/13.3.7

Received on: 08/08/2019;

Accepted on: 12/03/2020

Abstract: The growing population and energy demand, coupled with the depleting fresh water resources resulted in great progress in sea water desalination (SWD) technologies. Nanopores of 2D materials, like graphene and its structural analogs, are the latest innovations in membrane technology for SWD. The performance of these novel atomically thin nanopores, as seen from various experimental and theoretical studies, is highly encouraging with reports of water permeability 2-3 orders of magnitude greater than the conventional reverse osmosis (RO). The potential for high efficiency and the low energy requirements of these nanopores for desalination led to tremendous efforts in fabrication and commercialization. We present here a review of the very recent patents associated with the preparation of these nanopores, the process and the efficiency of SWD. **Keywords:** 2D nanopores, Graphene, Membrane, Patents, Desalination.

Keywords. 2D hanopores, Graphene, Memorane, Fatenes, Dese

Introduction

It is expected that by 2030, more than 15% of the world population will be forced to turn to seawater to meet their needs for fresh or brackish water. At present, around 150 countries rely on desalination and around 80 million m³ of drinking water is being produced daily by more than 17,000 desalination plants, with 50% of them utilizing sea water as the source [1]. The desalination process needs to be perfected and made more energy-efficient, cost effective, environment friendly and sustainable [2-5]. The rapid and innovative advancements in nanotechnology during the past decade and the quick strides taken in nanoscale fabrication have given rise to the discovery of nanopores of atomically thin membranes of graphene and other 2D materials that can filter molecules and selectively transport ions in nano-channels for various applications in energy, sensing, medicine and desalination [6-10].

Nano-filteration (NF) and reverse osmosis (RO) that use filters are limited by the low permeability of the filters. Even though today RO is the most established method and the efficiency of RO is thrice what it was two decades ago, it is a slow diffusion process whereas nanopores use a sieving method and fast water transport in an efficient manner with high rates of salt rejection [11-18]. For efficient desalination, a membrane must demonstrate a high salt rejection rate along with a high water flux. Highly permeable membranes can be achieved by engineering the right pore size, chemical functionalities and other surface characteristics [13-15, 19-20]. Nanoporous graphene (NPG) has a separation rate of twothree orders of magnitude higher than diffusive RO, as shown by the molecular dynamics (MD) studies [13, 14]. In addition, the experimental study of [15] for single-layer graphene shows

almost 100% salt rejection and rapid water fluxes in the order of 10^6 g/m² s at 40°C. Moreover, since the desalination process with nanopores does not require high pressures like RO, the energy used is very much less and makes them extremely cost effective, especially as we know that in RO, the high energy consumption accounts for half the total cost of operation. These results confirm NPG as a desalination device with a very high potential. Further, first principles' studies of Molybdenum disulphide (MoS₂) give 70% higher water fluxes than NPG and allow for strain tuning of the membrane [15, 16]. Again, simulation studies of boron nitride (BN) show higher efficiency than NPG and superior water flow and salt rejection performance [11, 12, 17]. The insights obtained by theoretical findings regards the optimum pore size, functionality and material design must be translated into practice for fabrication and manufacture of optimal desalination devices. The recent years have seen several innovative techniques of nanoporous membrane preparation and patents in the various processes, methods and materials for desalination.

Water is a scarce resource and SWD is the most sustainable and attractive option for water management. In this context, the past decade has seen a tremendous increase in research on the use of nanopores of 2D materials, like graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides, for energyefficient desalination technologies. Now, as this novel technology is ripe for commercial implementation, it is important to bring to the attention of the scientific community the recent patents on this valuable desalination technology. In addition to being energy-efficient, nanopores offer water permeability 2-3 orders of magnitude greater than the conventional reverse osmosis. Since this is a rapidly developing field with exciting new scientific findings, the focus of the present review article is on the recent (since 5 years) patents in this new and exciting field of graphene and related 2D material nanopores. The immense possibilities and success in water desalination using this novel technology is highlighted with some interesting patents and discussions of the various aspects concerning the process and fabrication preparation, of nanoporous optimal desalination devices. The paper is organized under the headings: Introduction, Structure of Nanopores and Patents Therein, Process, Performance and Effectiveness of Nanopores and Challenges and Outlook.

Structure of Nanopores and Patents Therein

Patents [21-23] deal with various ways of making nanoporous membranes of graphene and related 2D materials. In the patent [21], graphene separation membrane with a plurality of grain boundaries on a polymer support has been prepared for separation of ions in water (desalination) and in gases. The polymer support may include polysulfone, polyethersulfone, polyamide, polyetherimide, polyimide, polyacrylonitrile, polyethylene, polycarbonate, polytetrafluoroethylene, polypropylene or polyvinylidene fluoride. The separation membranes can be based on graphene or transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs); for example, MoS₂, NbS₂, NbSe₂, TaS₂, ... etc. and other 2D materials, like BN. The graphene membrane may be made by a variety of methods, like liquid phase method, vapor phase method, polymer method and other methods that can grow the grain boundaries, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The pore size and spacing in the grain boundaries and the channels can be different in the layers to increase the separation selectivity of particular substances, as seen in Fig. 1(b).



FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of different forms of grain boundaries and pores in (a) graphene layer (b) crosssection of a bilayer [21].

The pores can have a width of about 0.335 nm to 100 nm. The pore size and channels can be controlled by adjustments to the graphene growth rate or by changing the carbon supply source to methane or hydrocarbon-based organic polymers. These pores can be made so small as

to selectively pass only water molecules and block the hydrated salt ions in desalination. In addition to pores arising from grain boundaries, fine pores could be formed on the graphene membrane as a result of defects near 5- or 7membered rings, as depicted in Fig. 2.



FIG. 2. Schematic illustration of structure of graphene monolayer including defects [21].

The procedure and description above of forming nanopores [21] is not exclusive to graphene, but also applies to the other 2D materials; for example, MoS_2 and BN.

It is difficult to remove and transfer atomically thin layers of 2D materials containing nanopores from the growth substrate, as this can give rise to tear and conformity problems. This issue is addressed by Sinsabaugh et al. (2015). The process involves manipulation of the 2D materials, such as graphene, by first providing a support layer while it is still adhered to the growth substrate, then, second releasing the substrate from the 2D material, resulting in a 2D material attached to the support layer. Fig. 3 illustrates this 3-step process; the operations of forming and depositing a support layer and removal of the substrate.



FIG. 3. Schematic illustration of the process of formation on substrate, deposition of support layer and removal of substrate [22].

The substrate can be a metal, like Cu or Ni, and the removal of the substrate is achieved by etching with a suitable etchant, like ammonium persulphate. The method uses a non-sacrificial porous support layer that can contain a plurality of pores with a pore size gradient in some embodiments. Such an embodiment has a smaller pore size in the supporting layer close to the 2D material surface compared to the opposite end. This feature can be achieved by electrospinning of fibres with a density that is higher near the 2D material surface and lower further away from the 2D surface. Various chemical and physical techniques for perforating graphene or the 2D material can be employed, like particle bombardment, chemical oxidation, lithographic patterning or a combination of these [24]. This can be done while it is adhered to the growth substrate or after removal of growth substrate and while it is attached to the supporting layer.

The most recent invention is that of Stoltenburg et al. (2017). The method consists of making a composite film of an atomically thin material (such as graphene) and a polymer layer; bombarding the same to create a plurality of pores in at least the graphene layer. The atomically thin material could be any of the following: a few layers of graphene, molybdenum disulfide, boron nitride, hexagonal boron nitride, niobium diselenide, silicene or germanene. The best mode for the process of making and etching the nanoporous membrane and the execution of the invention is illustrated in Fig. 4. The composite film consists of an atomically thin layer of 2D material and a polymer film. A hot press manufacturing process could be used to make the composite film. The polymer film is polycarbonate with a thickness ranging from 25-250 microns. Materials, like polyester, polypropylene, polyimide, polymethyl methacrylate or polyvinylidene fluoride, could be used for the polymer film.



FIG. 4. A schematic diagram of a process for making a nanoporous membrane with an initially non-porous polymer film [23].

The energetic particles may be electrons, neutrons, ions, ion clusters or similar particles that are sufficiently energetic to traverse the composite film. Typical energies > 1 MeV/micron thickness are directed at the composite film during the bombardment operation. Another aspect is the selection of the energetic particles to form a plurality of pores in the composite film, such that the chemical nature polymer layer is changed and the of functionalizing of the pore occurs. Upon completion of the bombardment process, the composite film undergoes the etching process. The entire film is immersed in an appropriate etching fluid, which depends on the type of polymer film used. For polycarbonate, a solution of NaOH is used for a predetermined length of time. During the etching process, the etchant attacks the chemical functionalizing of the polymer film in the pores to remove the chemical functionalized area and form enlarged pores in the polymer film. Depending upon the extent of chemical functionalization and the

etching parameters, the pore size can be controlled and it may range from 10-1000 nm. A residual polymer structure is formed as a result of the etching process. The end results of the etching process provide a plurality of pores with a size ranging from 0.5 to 10 nm in graphene that are concentrically aligned with the enlarged pores. Thus, the nanoporous membrane (active layer) has a one-to-one mapping of the holes with the polymer layer (substrate). An alternate method of producing a nanoporous membrane is depicted in Fig. 5. The method is similar to the above described method, except that the polymer film is already porous with enlarged pores. The composite film (which consists of the atomically thin layer and polymer layer) is the same as the composite film in the previous method and has the same characteristics. The energetic particles during the bombarding process are selected such that there is no chemical functionalization of the polymer film and it is inert to pore enlargement. The process of bombardment creates a plurality of pores in graphene or other atomically thin material that may or may not be concentric with the enlarged polymer pores. Further, it may form pores that extend partially into the polymer layer to create a cavity. The process may also create pores that extend all the way through the graphene and the polymer layer, i.e., the composite layer.



FIG. 5. Schematic diagram of a process for making a nanoporous membrane with an initially porous polymer film [23].

Furthermore, a chemical bond may be formed between the graphene and polymer layer that will secure it and strengthen the composite film and support the graphene membrane. As a result, there can be an alignment of the pores of size 0.5-10 nm with the enlarged pores to provide higher permeability. This method has the advantage that the particles for bombardment can be different from those needed to create tracks in the polymer film, thereby giving more flexibility in forming the composite film, whereas the advantage of the previous method (Fig. 4) allows for simultaneous creation of the holes in both graphene and the polymer film that are one – to – one and are concentric, thereby considerably increasing permeability of the composite film and manufacturability. Processing active layer (graphene) and support layer (polymer film) simultaneously is easier and more scalable. Having discussed the various types of nanopores and the patents involved in making these nanoporous membranes, the application to desalination will be discussed in the next section.

Process, Performance and Effectiveness of Nanopores and Patents

Several membrane- and pressure-driven technologies, like RO, NF, Ultrafiltration (UF) and Microfiltration (MF), are in use at present [3, 25, 26). 19% of the world has RO installations [27] and it is the conventional method in use. RO requires high pressures and the energy consumption costs equal half the total cost; the desalination of 1 m³ of seawater requires 3.4 kWh of energy at 6.5 MPa [28, 29]. Seawater predominantly contains Na⁺ (Sodium) and Cl⁻ (Chlorine) ions and has an average salinity of 3.5% (35 g/L) of dissolved salts. Typical values of the various ions present in the Arabian Gulf seawater in ppm (parts per million) are shown in Table 1. Moderate amounts of SO_4^{2-} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ and Ca^{2+} ions are also present. The table also shows the radii of the hydrated ions for the main components from references [30, 31]. The mesh size has an inverse relation to the cost, so an estimation of the size of the hydrated ions in seawater is very useful.

	Ion	Conc. [ppm]	Ion radius [nm]	Hydrated ion radius [nm]
	Na^+	14,161	0.098	0.360
	Cl	25,491	0.181	0.270
	$SO4^{2-}$	3,594	0.147	0.300
	Mg^{2+}	1,642	0.078	0.395
	K^+	722	0.133	0.315
	Ca^{2+}	530	0.106	0.348

TABLE 1. Arabian Gulf seawater composition adapted from [30, 31].

As seen from the table, the radii of hydrated ions are almost twice the radius of the water molecule which is 0.138 nm. The knowledge of the values of the hydrated ions helps in the manufacture of membranes with optimal size and the costs of devices for desalination are reduced as a result of the lower pressures involved. The choice of the average pore size depends on the desired result of excluding a particular species and in turn is dependent on the size of the particular hydrated ion. Selection of a membrane material is based on the fact that it should be thin to maximize flow rate, chemically inert and stable and mechanically strong, with size-defined pore that provides selectivity by blocking large molecules and allowing smaller

ones. The bright future for desalination with novel nanoporous membranes, like graphene and the related materials, as well as the tremendous progress and promise shown in this direction discussed with the related patents [32-35].

In the process described by [32], a perforated graphene membrane separates sodium, chlorine and other ions from water. The apertures in the membrane are designed to pass water molecules and to not pass the smallest Na^+ , Cl^- and other relevant ions. The deionized water flowing through the graphene membrane is collected. Fig. 6 shows a notational representation of this disclosure using a perforated graphene sheet.



FIG. 6. A notational representation of a water filter, using a perforated graphene sheet [32].

A channel conveys the ion-laden water to a filter membrane mounted on a supporting chamber. The ion-laden water may be seawater or brackish water. The filter membrane can be wound into a spiral in a known manner. Flow impetus or pressure of the ion-laden water flowing through channel of Fig. 6 can be provided either by gravity from a tank or from a pump. Valves 1 and 2 allow for the selection of the source of ion-laden water. In the apparatus or arrangement, the filter membrane is a perforated graphene sheet. A plan view of the same is shown in Fig. 7 below.



FIG. 7. A plan view of a perforated graphene sheet, showing 0.6 nm diameter perforations or apertures and interperforation dimensions [32].

The ionized water flows first through a graphene layer dimensioned with pores allowing chlorine ions to pass and then through a second graphene layer with pores designed to pass Na⁺

ions. The concentrated Cl^{-} and Na^{+} ions accumulating on the graphene layers can be separately harvested.

Mahurin et al. [34] also used nanoporous graphene for desalination. They have devised a process for the flow of salt water through a free standing graphene layer, having pores up to a size of 1 nm and with pore edges passivated by silicon. When water flows through the first planer side, the salt ions are blocked and salt free desalinated water exits from the other side. The membrane is supported on a substrate that contains a window and the free standing graphene layer spans this window and the salt water flows only through this portion. Fig. 8 shows the single graphene layer suspended over the hole /window in the support material.



FIG. 8. (a) Schematic of single-layer graphene being subjected to oxygen plasma etch process while suspended over a hole in a support material (b) SEM image of single-layer graphene suspended over a hole in a support material [34].

Seawater contains at least one of sodium or potassium ions and at least 10 g/L of the salt species, while the removal of salts is ~ 95-99%. The membrane is disposed on the opening of the container, which is inverted to feed seawater by gravity to the first planer side, resulting in desalinated water flowing out of the second planer side. The seawater is fed continuously into the container.

Grossman et al. [33] used a variety of porous materials, including nanoporous materials for filtration, purification and/or separation applications. The materials may be thin, flexible and manufactured with a control over pore size and spatial distribution for applications in desalination. These could be a nano-structured carbon material, such as carbon nanotubes, graphite, graphene or graphene oxide. For example, the porous material could be a single layer or multiple layers of graphene arranged on a support or formed on a substrate that can be fabricated to include a plurality of pores having an average pore size of about 1 nm or less. The pores can be made to have an optimal size so as to effectively reject certain ion species, such as Na⁺ and Cl⁻ and allow the flow of other species; e.g. water molecules. Nanoporous graphene materials having an overall porosity of 10% and containing a plurality of pores with an average pore size of about 6 Å may exhibit a water permeability of about 50 L/cm² /day/MPa to about 60 L/cm^2 /day/MPa, which is two to three orders of magnitude higher than known UF, NF and reverse osmosis membranes. Functionalization in addition to pore size affect the permeability of the water and the salt rejection performance of the membrane. As shown by [36], the chemical functionalization of graphene nanopores can tune and selectively reject certain solvated ions. The carbon atoms at the edge of a pore on a graphene membrane can be functionalized by hydrophilic groups-OH or hydrophobic groups-hydrogen, alkyl, aryl, ... etc. or by substituted/un-substituted amino, ... etc. The hydrophilic functionalization allows faster water flow by providing a smoother entropic landscape for the water molecules, whereas hydrophobic functionality at or near the pore edges with hydrogen may enhance salt rejection. The key performance indicators of any desalination technology are salt rejection and water flux and Fig.9 illustrates the high performance of graphene nanopores as compared to RO and other common desalination methods.



Fig.9. The two most important key performance parameters, salt rejection on y axis and water flux/permeability on x axis of graphene nanopores compared with the other common desalination technologies; reproduced with permission from Cohen-Tanugi and Grossman copyright (2012) ACS.

In another patent, Li and Ruhong [35] use mechanical strain control to open and close pores and tune a 2D MoS₂ desalination membrane. This invention offers more flexibility compared to graphene which has a fixed open/closed state that cannot be tuned externally. The sandwichlike structure of a ML of MoS₂ has a Young's modulus of ~270 GPa which is significantly smaller than the graphene sheet-like structure of a single layer of about 1 TPa. It is therefore more sensitive to mechanical strain and MoS₂ nanopores and can be made controllable with "open" and "closed" states; i.e., the pore opening size can be changed to allow or stop water flow by using strain. The steps involved in straincontrolled MoS₂ as desalination membrane are first to fix the MoS₂ nanoporous membrane on a frame, second install the frame-film device filter in seawater, third apply a pull force to the frame to increase the membrane frame surface area about 6-12% and fourth to pass pressurized seawater with a pressure range of 0-100 MPa through the MoS₂ membrane to allow water molecules to pass and block the salt ions and thus complete the desalination process. In some embodiments, the MoS₂ monolayer can have Mo or S or both Mo and S vacancy defects for selectivity and performance improvement.

Challenges and Outlook

Nanopores, with low energy requirements and high desalination efficiency are proving to be the new generation desalination devices. The theoretical studies of graphene and lowdimensional TMDs give insight to numerous possibilities predict the device and characteristics for efficient desalination. The low-pressure operation of these nanoporous membranes and the self-cleaning, no fouling characteristics make them very attractive for use in desalination. Graphene, BN, MoS₂ and related nanopores offer a safe, reliable, sensitive, energy-saving and cost-effective water desalination technology that is ready to be put into action. But, in order to translate this technology to large-scale production, various aspects concerning process and fabrication need to be addressed. The main challenges are largescale defect-free. well-defined membrane synthesis, uniform, precise and small-size pore generation, mechanical stability and functionalization of membranes. Liu et al. [37] successfully generated nanopores of 1-10 nm diameters in MoS₂ using a transmission electron microscope with a highly focused electron beam. Large-area, good-quality membrane with nanopores in the nm and sub-nm range can be fabricated, as shown by Waduge et al. [38] and Feng et al. [39]. Graphene is the ideal membrane with its only one-atom layer thickness and the simple techniques for introducing nanopores by ionic irradiation or chemical treatment and can implemented with great success. The be simulation study of Jun et al. [40] found that graphene mono-layers pinned every 40-160 Å can withstand pressures greater than 500 MPa without ripping. Experimental results for bulge tests, Bunch et al. [41] also confirmed the high strength of graphene. The rapid experimental progress suggests the practical feasibility of accurate and large-scale synthesis of highly ordered nanoporous graphene and related 2D materials and the commercialization of this desalination technology is anticipated to be in the immediate future.

References

- Wali, F., "The future of desalination research in the Middle East", Nature Middle East, (2014); doi:10.1038/nmiddleeast.2014.273.
- [2] Elimelech, M. and Phillip, W.A., Science, 333 (2011) 712.
- [3] Pendergast, M.M. and Hoek, E.M.V., Energy and Environmental Science, 4 (6) (2011) 1946.
- [4] Zhu, C., Li, H., Zeng, X.C., Wang, E.G. and Meng, S., Scientific Reports, 3 (2013) 3163.
- [5] Yuan, H., Abu-Reesh, I.M. and He, Z., Chemical Engineering Journal, 270 (2016) 437.
- [6] Nguyen, B.H. and Nguyen, V.H., Adv. Nat. Sci.: Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 7 (2016) 023002.
- [7] Thanh, T.D., Chuong, N.D., Hien, H.V., Kshetri, T., Tuan, L.H., Kim, N.H. and Lee, J.H., Progress in Materials Science, 96 (2018) 51.
- [8] Wasfi, A., Awwad, F. and Ayesh, A.I., Biosensors & Bioelectronics, 119 (2018) 191.
- [9] Moghadam, F. and Park, H.B., Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering, 20 (2018) 28.
- [10] Ramanathan, A.A., Aqra, M.W. and Al-Rawajfeh, A.E., Environ. Chem. Lett., 16 (2018) 1217.
- [11] Suk, M.E., Raghunathan, A.V. and Aluru, N.R., Appl. Phys. Lett., 92 (2008) 133120.
- [12] Tamsyn, A., Hilder, T.A., Gordan, D. and Chung, S-H., Small, 5 (19) (2009) 2183.
- [13] Suk, M.E. and Aluru, N.R., J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 1 (2010) 1590.
- [14] Tanugi, D.C. and Grossman, J.C., Nano Lett., 12 (2012) 3602.

Acknowledgements

This work was not supported by any funding. The authors would like to take the opportunity to thank Eng. Wajeeh M. A. Aqra for his continuous encouragement and support.

- [15] Surwade, S.P., Smirnov, S.N., Vlassiouk, I.V., Unocic, R.R., Dai, S., Veith, G.M. and Mahurin, S.M., Nature Nanotechnology, 10 (2015) 459.
- [16] Heiranian, M., Farimani, A.B. and Aluru, N.R., Nature Communications, 6 (2015) 8616.
- [17] Wu, Y.B., Wagner, L.K. and Aluru, N. R., J. Chem. Phys., 142 (2015) 234702.
- [18] Li, W., Yang, Y., Weber, J.K., Zhang, G. and Zhou, R., ACS Nano, 10 (2016) 1829.
- [19] Tanugi, D.C. and Grossman, J.C., The Journal of Chemical Physics, 141 (2014) 074704.
- [20] Tanugi, D.C., Lin, L. and Grossman, J.C., Nano Lett., 16 (2) (2016) 1027.
- [21] Choi, J.Y. and Park, B.H., S.M.I.G. U.S. Patent, (2012) 0255899.
- [22] Sinsabaugh, S.L., Bedworth, P.V., Casey, Jr.D.F., Heise, S.E., Sinton, S.W. Stoltenberg, R.M. and Swett, J.L., United States Patent Application, (2015) 20150217219.
- [23] Stoltenburg, R.M., Bedworth, P.V., Heise, S.E. and Sinton, S.W., U.S. Patent, (2017) 20170043300.
- [24] Gilbert, S.M., Dunn, G., Pham, T., Shevitski, B., Dimitrov, E., Aloni, S. and Zettl, A., Science Reports, 7 (2017) 15096.
- [25] Khin, M.M., Nair, A.S., Babu, V.J., Murugan, R. and Ramakrishna, S., Energy Environ. Sci., 5 (8) (2012) 8075.
- [26] Goh, P.S., Ismail, A.F. and Ng, B.C., Desalination, 308 (2013) 2.
- [27] International Desalination Association (IDA), "Desalination in 2008 global marker snapshot", 21st GWI/International Desalination Association Worldwide Desalting Plant Inventory (2008).

- [28] Fritzmann, C., Löwenberg, J., Wintgens, T. and Melin, T., Desalination, 216 (1) (2007) 1.
- [29] Semiat, R., Environ. Sci. Technol., 42 (22) (2008) 8193.
- [30] Baldanov, M., Baldanova, D., Zhigzhitova, S. and Tanganov, B., Reports of the Russian High School Academy of Science, (2006) 32.
- [31] Tanganov, B.B., European Journal of Natural History, 1 (2013) 36.
- J.B., Mercurio, J., [32] Stetson, Alan Rosenwinkel, A. and Bedworth, P.V., US Patent, B2 (2013) 8361321.
- [33] Grossman, J., Ferralis, N., Tanugi, D.C. and Dave, S.H., P.M.M.I.N.M.F.W.F. Google Patents, WO (2014) 2014152407.
- [34] Mahurin, S.M., Vlassiouk, I., Dai, S., Surwade, S.P., Unocic, R.R. and Smirnov, S., N.G.M.f.D.o.S.W. US Patent, (2016)0207798.

- [35] Li, W. and Ruhong, W., Google Patents, CN (2015) 104925907A.
- [36] Sint, K., Wang, B. and Král, P., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 130 (49) (2008) 16448.
- [37] Liu, K., Feng, J.D., Kis, A. and Radenovic, A., A.T.M.D.N.W.H.S.D.T., ACS Nano, 8 (2014) 2504.
- [38] Waduge, P., Bilgin, I., Larkin, J., Henley, R.Y., Goodfellow, K., Graham, A.C., Bell, D.C., Vamivakas, N., Kar, S. and Wanunu, M., ACS Nano, 9 (7) (2015) 7352.
- [39] Feng, J., Liu, K., Graf, M., Lihter, M., Bulushev, R.D., Dumcenco, D., Alexander, D.T.L., Krasnozhon, D., Vuletic, T., Kis, A. and Radenovic, A., Nano Lett., 15(5) 2015 3431.
- [40] Jun, S., Tashi, T., Park, H., S., Journal of Nanomaterials, 2011(12) (2010) 380286. Doi: 10.1155/2011/380286
- [41] Bunch, J.S., Verbridge, S.S., Alden, J. S., Zande, A.M.V.D, Parpia, J.M., Craighead, H.G., McEuen, P.L., Nano Letters 8 (2008) 2458-2462 Doi: 10.1021/nl801457b

Article