

### On the Origin of Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation

**Sergey G. Fedosin**

*PO box 614088, Sviazeva str. 22-79, Perm, Perm Krai, Russia.*

**Doi:** <https://doi.org/10.47011/18.4.10>

*Received on: 25/07/2024;*

*Accepted on: 06/10/2024*

---

**Abstract:** The alternative mechanism of the emergence of cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB), associated with the thermal radiation of primordial gas-dust clouds in the early Universe, is considered. The emergence of such clouds in the theory of infinite hierarchical nesting of matter is a natural stage in matter evolution. The mass, radius, and spatial concentration of typical primordial gas-dust clouds, the distance between neighboring clouds, and the power of CMB energy generation per unit volume and per nucleon of the early Universe were calculated. The masses and radii of these clouds correspond to the masses and radii of the observed Bok globules. The presented mechanism is consistent with the cluster model describing the appearance of angular multipoles in the CMB power spectrum. In addition to CMB radiation, cosmic infrared background (CIB) radiation and cosmic optical background (COB) radiation are also considered. According to the presented model, the sources of CIB are primordial protoplanetary clouds. As for the COB radiation, it is associated with the radiation of the first protostars. During evolution, each primordial cloud, with a mass of about 31 solar masses, first generates CMB radiation, and then CIB and COB radiations. Since protostars give rise to neutron stars, the concentration of primordial gas-dust clouds is also the concentration of observed neutron stars. In the course of the calculations, a new definition of the radiation intensity is used, which is based on the vector of the surface energy flux density and accounts for the angles of incidence of radiation on a flat receiver from all sides of the hemisphere. According to Poynting's theorem, the relationship between the intensity and energy density of black body radiation is derived from the concept of photons.

**Keywords:** Cosmic microwave background, Infinite hierarchical nesting of matter, Early universe, Cosmology: theory, Matter evolution.

**PACS:** 98.70.Vc.

## 1. Introduction

The cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) in the wavelength range of 0.3-30 mm contributes most to the total energy of cosmic background radiation. The standard explanation for the origin of the CMB is based on the Big Bang concept, in which the CMB appeared in the early Universe. However, the idea of the Big Bang still has drawbacks [1]; therefore, other alternative cosmological theories continue to appear. For example, in the quasi-steady-state cosmological model, it is assumed that the CMB could be the result of processing stellar radiation by cosmic dust [2].

However, even in this case, there are difficulties associated with the fact that the CMB is too homogeneous and isotropic and exhibits an ideal blackbody spectrum. According to the dynamic Universe model [3] and the hierarchical Universe model [4], the stellar radiation in the early Universe could be sufficient for the CMB to have the observed energy density and be isotropic, so that the Big Bang is not needed. According to [5], the models based on a Universe in dynamical equilibrium without expansion predicted the 2.7 K temperature prior to and better than models based on the Big Bang. In addition, it was shown in [6] that isotopes of all the observed chemical elements can be

formed from hydrogen in stars over a timescale of approximately 100 billion years, which makes it possible to do without the Big Bang.

It is known from measurements [7] that the CMB temperature corresponds to the blackbody temperature  $T = 2.7255$  K. If the CMB is in equilibrium with respect to some global blackbody of the Universe, it would have a volumetric energy density equal to  $u = \frac{4\sigma T^4}{c} = 4.17 \times 10^{-14}$  J/m<sup>3</sup>, where  $\sigma$  is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant, and  $c$  is the speed of light. This relation characterizes, for example, the state of a hollow black body, which is in thermal equilibrium with radiation in the inner cavity. The surface of such a cavity emits and absorbs radiation energy with an intensity of  $I = \sigma T^4 = 3.13 \times 10^{-6}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>. This means that ideal receivers, close in their properties to a blackbody, would measure within the cavity a CMB intensity on the order of  $I$ . In this case, the contribution to the intensity  $I$  will be made by photons incident on the receiver at various angles.

In measurements, the angular intensity  $J = \frac{dI}{d\Omega}$  is often used, where  $\Omega$  denotes the solid angle in steradians, from which the radiation arrives at the receiver. According to the Stefan–Boltzmann law, for CMB radiation—if it were in thermal equilibrium with matter as in a hollow blackbody—the following relation would be true:  $J = \frac{I}{\pi} = \frac{\sigma T^4}{\pi} = 9.96 \times 10^{-7}$  W/(sr·m<sup>2</sup>). This value is in accordance with the results in [8].

When plotting the radiation spectrum of a blackbody, the dependence of the spectral angular intensity  $\frac{dJ}{d\nu}$  on the radiation frequency  $\nu$  is usually plotted. This value reaches a maximum when a small frequency range  $d\nu$  is selected near the frequency  $\nu_m = 160.23$  GHz, corresponding to the maximum of the CMB radiation. In accordance with Wien's law of displacement for the frequency,  $\nu_m = \frac{\alpha}{h} kT \approx 5.879 \times 10^{10} \cdot T$ , where constant  $\alpha \approx 2.821439...$ ,  $h$  is the Planck constant,  $k$  is

the Boltzmann constant, and the radiation temperature  $T$  is measured in kelvins.

The purpose of this work is to explain the origin of background radiation in the model of a hierarchical Universe. Based on the thermal equilibrium of radiation and matter of radiation sources in the early Universe, we will find the sizes, masses, and concentration in space of these sources. As will be shown below, in the presented approach, the formula  $u = \frac{4\sigma T^4}{c}$  for

the energy density of background microwave radiation in the Universe can no longer be valid, nor can it be applied to the background infrared and optical radiation.

## 2. Definition of Intensity

The standard definition considers intensity as the amount of energy passing per unit time through a unit area oriented perpendicular to the direction of energy propagation. However, to account for different orientation angles of the receiver relative to the incident radiation, an alternative definition should be used. Within the photon framework, intensity can be regarded as the magnitude of a vector—the vector of the surface density of the radiation energy flux.

Let us assume that the radiation receiver responds only to the radiation component, which is perpendicular to the receiver plane. This can happen, for example, when the receiver is sensitive to the momenta of the photons falling on the receiver from all sides. Then, the momenta components of the set of photons, which are parallel to the receiver plane, mutually cancel each other, and the sum of the perpendicular momenta components of the photons is considered. In this case, we can assume that the energy flux surface density vector  $\mathbf{I}$  is determined by the amount of incident radiation energy on the flat radiation receiver from all sides of the hemisphere per second per unit area  $S$  of the receiver, taking into account the angular dependence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} &= \sum_i \frac{d}{dS} \left[ \frac{d(E_i \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})}{dt} \right] \hat{\mathbf{n}} = c \sum_i \frac{d}{dS} \left[ \frac{d(\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})}{dt} \right] \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \\ &= c \sum_i \frac{d}{dS} (\mathbf{F}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{\mathbf{n}} = c \sum_i \frac{dF_{in}}{dS} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = c \sum_i P_{in} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = c P_n \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $E_i = c p_i$  denotes the energy of the photon with momentum amplitude  $p_i$ ; the index  $i$  specifies the photon number during summation in (1);  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$  is a unit vector directed along the photon momentum  $\mathbf{p}_i$ , such that  $\mathbf{p}_i = p_i \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ ;  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  is a unit normal vector directed to the receiver plane from the hemisphere;  $\mathbf{F}_i = \frac{d\mathbf{p}_i}{dt}$  defines the force acting from the photon on the receiver;  $F_{in} = (\mathbf{F}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})$  is the force projection on the normal;  $P_{in} = \frac{dF_{in}}{dS}$  denotes the pressure from the photon's side, perpendicular to the receiver surface; and  $P_n = \sum_i P_{in}$  is the total perpendicular pressure from all photons.

If we take into account that the electromagnetic radiation pressure is  $P = \frac{u}{3} = \frac{4I}{3c}$ , then according to (1), we have

$$P_n = \frac{3P}{4}, \quad \mathbf{I} = c P_n \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{3cP}{4} \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (2)$$

In (2), the vector  $\mathbf{I}$  is directed in the same way as the unit normal vector  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  and is proportional to the speed of light and the electromagnetic pressure on the receiver.

The difference between  $P_n$  and  $P$  in (2) can be attributed to the well-known 4/3 problem, according to which the mass-energy  $m_p$  of the electromagnetic field of a charged body moving at a very low velocity, derived from the Poynting vector and proportional to the field momentum density, is 4/3 times greater than the mass-energy  $m_E$ , corresponding to the field energy density. The relation  $m_E = \frac{3m_p}{4}$  corresponds to

the equality  $P_n = \frac{3P}{4}$ , so that the radiation pressure  $P$  is related to the Poynting vector, and the pressure  $P_n$  exerted on the receiver is related to the field energy density. According to [9], the 4/3 problem occurs because the electromagnetic field energy density and the field momentum density are not the four-momentum components

but rather the field stress-energy tensor components.

To check formula (1), first place the receiver on the surface of the sphere of radius  $r$ , at the center of which there is a certain source with the power of isotropic radiation  $W$ . In this situation, the radiation falls on the receiver at a right angle. In (1), the scalar product  $(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = 1$  is obtained, and the energy flux density recorded in the receiver is then equal to:

$$I = \frac{W}{4\pi r^2}. \quad (3)$$

Let us consider another situation, when one of the many existing radiation sources is the volume element  $dV$ . We can assume that  $dW = \frac{u dV}{\Delta t}$  is the differential of the radiation

energy, leaving the volume element  $dV$  per unit time  $\Delta t$ . Let us choose the hemisphere radius  $R = c\Delta t$ , where  $c$  is the speed of light, and place the receiver at the coordinate origin on the plane  $ZOX$  at the center of the hemisphere. This situation is shown in Fig. 1.




FIG. 1. The receiver  $D$  (in this case rectangular in shape) is located at the coordinate origin on the plane  $ZOX$  at the center of the hemisphere of radius  $R$ . The current radius  $r$  and the angles  $Q$  and  $\phi$  specify the position of the radiating volume element  $dV$  in the spherical coordinate system.

Now, all the radiation energy contained in the hemisphere with a radius  $R$  falls into the receiver at time  $\Delta t$ . Then, in spherical

coordinates for the intensity differential of the volume element  $dV$  located inside the hemisphere at a distance  $r$  from the center, in accordance with (1) and (3), we have:

$$dI = \frac{u \sin Q \sin \phi dV}{4\pi r^2 \Delta t}, \quad (4)$$

Here,  $dV = r^2 dr \sin Q dQ d\phi$  is the volume element considered as a source of isotropic radiation. The product  $\sin Q \sin \phi$  defines the angular dependence of the radiation intensity, so that at  $Q = 0$  photons move along the  $OZ$  axis and do not enter the receiver at all. At  $\phi = 0$ , photons move in the  $ZOX$  plane and do not enter the receiver either. If  $Q = \pi/2$ , and  $\phi = \pi/2$ , photons fall on the receiver at a right angle to its surface and make the maximum contribution to the intensity. The appearance of  $\sin Q \sin \phi$  in (4) follows from the fact that, according to Fig. 1,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = -(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i = -(\sin Q \cos \phi, \sin Q \sin \phi, \cos Q)$ , and in definition (1), we have  $(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sin Q \sin \phi$ .

Integration over the hemisphere's volume replaces the summation in (1) and gives the following:

$$I = \frac{u}{4\pi \Delta t} \int_0^{R=c\Delta t} dr \int_0^\pi \sin^2 Q dQ \int_0^\pi \sin \phi d\phi = \frac{uc}{4}. \quad (5)$$

The calculation in (5) shows how, in the case of equilibrium blackbody radiation, we can understand the relation between the intensity  $I$  and the energy density  $u$  of radiation that enters the receiver from different directions. Hence, we can see that  $I$  is actually related to the mass-energy of the field energy density, and not to the mass-energy of the momentum density, which is found using the Poynting vector.

### 3. CMB Energy Production

It is known that CMB radiation originates from large distances; therefore, in one way or another, it is generated by many sources. Let us assume that, on average, each cubic meter of the early Universe was a source of the CMB and produced  $L$  joules of CMB energy per second; then, the volumetric power  $L$  of energy

generation is measured in  $\text{W/m}^3$ . Next, we proceed as in [4].

Let us suppose that radiation sources uniformly fill the hemisphere, while radiation from some sources does not fall on the receiver at a right angle. This means that to determine the intensity  $I$ , we should integrate the entire hemisphere's volume and take into account the angles of incidence of radiation on the receiver, similar to (4) and the definition of intensity in (1). We will place the receiver at the origin of the coordinate system and position it in the  $ZOX$  plane to measure the CMB energy.

If some radiating volume is located at a distance  $r$  from the origin of coordinates, then the effective amount of energy  $dI$ , incident per unit time on the unit area of the receiver, will be equal to:

$$dI = \frac{L \exp\left(-\frac{Hr}{c}\right) \exp(-snr) \sin Q \sin \phi dV}{4\pi r^2}, \quad (6)$$

where  $H$  is the Hubble constant.

The first exponent  $\exp\left(-\frac{Hr}{c}\right)$  in (6) describes the exponential decrease in the energy of CMB photons as they travel a distance  $r$ . As a result, the wavelength of the photons is shifted, which is known as the cosmological redshift of the spectra of distant radiation sources.

The second exponent  $\exp(-snr)$  sets the degree of scattering of photons on their way to the receiver, reducing the number of arriving photons. This exponent corresponds to the Beer–Lambert law for light scattering, where  $s$  is the scattering cross section, and  $n$  is the concentration of objects scattering light.

Let us take the integral in (6) over the volume of the hemisphere of infinite radius:

$$I = \frac{L}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{Hr}{c}\right) \exp(-snr) dr \int_0^\pi \sin^2 Q dQ \int_0^\pi \sin \phi d\phi = \frac{L}{4\left(\frac{H}{c} + sn\right)}. \quad (7)$$

In (7), the relation between the measured intensity  $I$  and the volumetric power  $L$  of CMB energy generation in cosmic space is presented.

Considering that the exponent  $\exp\left(-\frac{Hr}{c}\right)$  in (7) describes the exponential decrease in energy, as well as in the frequency of CMB photons as they travel a distance  $r$ , the following is obtained for the photon wavelength and redshift:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \lambda_0 \exp\left(\frac{Hr}{c}\right), \\ z &= \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} - 1 = \exp\left(\frac{Hr}{c}\right) - 1, \\ r &= \frac{c}{H} \ln(z + 1).\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

If in (8)  $z$  is small compared to unity, then  $\ln(z + 1) \approx z$ , which leads to the Hubble law in the form  $r \approx \frac{cz}{H}$ .

Due to the decrease in energy and scattering of photons in (6), some blurring of images of distant galaxies should be observed, since photons change their motion direction as a result of scattering. In fact, the observed blurring is insignificant, which can be explained by the small size of the electrogravitational vacuum particles described in [4] and [10], which are unable to significantly change the direction of the photons' momenta. We can also refer to more recent works [11-12], in which, in light of new data, the observed dependence of the duration of supernova explosions on the distance to them, the dependence of the surface brightness of galaxies on the redshift, and the relationship between redshift, relic radiation, and the blackbody spectrum were analyzed.

In addition, observations of the angular radii and surface brightness of galaxies at a given luminosity do not correspond to the expanding Universe hypothesis in the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model, but are in good agreement with relation (8), which describes the relationship between distance and redshift, as well as with the static Universe model [13-14], in which the surface brightness does not depend on the redshift  $z$ . With relation (8), the supernovae type Ia data give almost the same result as the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model.

#### 4. The Sources of CMB

In the theory of infinite hierarchical nesting of matter [4], [10], [15], it is assumed that the

substance of a certain level of matter arises in the course of the evolution of the substance of lower levels of matter. Therefore, stars as objects of the stellar level of matter appear after the compression of large gas clouds. The main objects of these clouds are nucleons belonging to the nucleon level of matter. In turn, the appearance of gas clouds is a consequence of the evolution of the substance of the praon level of matter, and praons can form the substance of nucleons in the same way as nucleons can form the substance of stars.

Based on this, suppose that in the early Universe, the entire volume was more or less uniformly filled with CMB sources at concentration  $n$ , the average radius of these sources was equal to  $a$ , and the effective temperature of particles on the surface of these sources was equal to the temperature  $T_s$ . In this case, we can write:

$$L = 4\pi\sigma T_s^4 a^2 n. \quad (9)$$

In (9), the CMB generation power per unit volume  $L$  is expressed through the surface area of a typical CMB source, equal to  $4\pi a^2$ , through the intensity  $\sigma T_s^4$  of radiation from this surface, and through the concentration  $n$  of CMB sources in the Universe.

Substituting  $L$  from (7) in (9) and taking into account that  $I = \sigma T^4$ , we find:

$$\frac{T_s^4 a^2 n}{\left(\frac{H}{c} + sn\right)} = \frac{T^4}{\pi}. \quad (10)$$

We assume that, during the time required for CMB photons to reach the Earth from distant regions of the Universe, the number of baryons and their concentration in cosmic space did not change significantly. In the first approximation, we can use the results of the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model (Lambda-cold dark matter model), where the critical mass density reaches the value of

$$\rho_{cr} = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} = 9.2 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg/m}^3, \text{ if we assume that}$$

the Hubble constant  $H$  equals 70 km/(s·Mpc) or  $2.268 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [16].

The physical density of the visible baryonic matter in this case is  $\rho_b = 0.0227 \rho_{cr} = 2.1 \times 10^{-28}$

$\text{kg/m}^3$ . This value is chosen in such a way that, among other things, it best fits observations of the amount of visible matter in galaxies. This approach will be sufficient for us since we will further derive various relationships, the physical meaning of which does not depend on the specific value of  $\rho_b$ .

Let us now take into account that the CMB sources, that is, the primordial gas-dust clouds, were located discretely in space with a concentration  $n = \frac{\rho_b}{m}$ , where  $m$  is the mass of a typical CMB source. Each source has a cross-section equal to  $s = \pi a^2$ . At very large distances, all sources begin to overlap, which makes it difficult to see the most distant CMB sources and weakens the intensity of the radiation that could be at the radiation receiver. This leads to the appearance of the exponent  $\exp(-snr)$  in (6).

The mass of a typical source is expressed by the formula  $m = \frac{4\pi a^3 \rho_s}{3} = \frac{\rho_b}{n}$ , where  $\rho_s$  is the mass density of the source substance. Expressing  $n$  from here and substituting into (10), while taking into account that  $s = \pi a^2$ , we have:

$$n = \frac{\rho_b}{m} = \frac{3\rho_b}{4\pi a^3 \rho_s}, \quad \frac{T_s^4}{\left(1 + \frac{4Ha\rho_s}{3c\rho_b}\right)} = T^4. \quad (11)$$

The last formula in (11) relates the radius  $a$ , mass density  $\rho_s$  of CMB sources, and the effective temperature  $T_s$  of the surface particles of these sources.

## 5. The Origin of Energy in CMB Sources

For the particles of numerous CMB sources to have a kinetic temperature on the order of  $T_s$  and to be able to subsequently radiate at this temperature, it is necessary that the particles of these sources somehow acquire the corresponding thermal energy as the energy of proper motion.

Let us turn to the theory of infinite hierarchical nesting of matter, according to which different matter levels are found in the

Universe, and the main objects of these levels have significantly different masses and sizes. In particular, there are metagalactic, stellar, nucleon, praon, and graon levels of matter [4], [10], [15], [17-21].

All matter levels are structured by gravitational clustering. This process is accompanied by the opposite process of fragmentation when particles collide with each other and with radiation quanta. In large gas clouds, under appropriate conditions, atoms and molecules can combine under the action of gravitational forces first into molecular complexes and then into more massive dust particles, until planets, stars, and their clusters are formed. Dust particles of micron size have a fairly dense core surrounded by a layer of loose matter. The minimum time required for the formation of such particles can be estimated by the approximate formula for the radial fall of matter to the accretion center under the action of gravitation [22]:

$$t \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi G \rho}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $G$  is the gravitational constant, and  $\rho$  is the mass density of matter at the initial moment of fall. For example, with a density of  $\rho = 100 \text{ kg/m}^3$  in (12), we obtain a duration of approximately 2.3 hours. The lower the initial mass density of an object is, the longer it takes for such an object to be formed. For a gas cloud with an initial density of  $\rho = 10^{-20} \text{ kg/m}^3$ , the time  $t$  will be approximately  $2.7 \times 10^7$  years. If we substitute in (12) the current density of baryonic matter,  $\rho = \rho_b = 2.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{ kg/m}^3$ , the corresponding duration of metagalaxy formation will be on the order of 180 billion years.

A more accurate calculation accepted in astrophysics takes into account the time required for a gas cloud to increase its density with decreasing radius instead of taking into account the time of fall into the accretion center. Let us assume that the evolution of matter in the hierarchically structured Universe leads over time to the formation of baryonic matter with average mass density  $\rho_b$ . This process cannot be uniform everywhere, and in those places where it goes faster, the matter can compress under the action of gravitation, regardless of the

surrounding volumes of space with lower density. For the acceleration of particle motion in the gravitational field outside the gas cloud with the mass  $M$ , we have:

$$\frac{d^2 r}{dt^2} = -\frac{GM}{r^2}. \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) is also suitable for describing the motion of the gas cloud's outer shell. The solution of (13) should be sought in the form

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{A}{r} + B}, \quad \frac{d^2 r}{dt^2} = -\frac{A}{2r^2}.$$

Hence, it follows that  $A = 2GM$ , and if  $B = -\frac{2GM}{r_b}$ , where  $r_b$  is the initial radius of the cloud, we obtain the relation for the velocity of the shell motion, which is associated with the law of conservation of energy:

$$\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{2GM}{r} - \frac{2GM}{r_b}. \quad (14)$$

For the case of cloud compression, the coordinate  $r$  decreases over time  $t$ , and therefore, we use the following equation:

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = -\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r} - \frac{2GM}{r_b}}. \quad (15)$$

The solution of the differential equation (15), in the case of compression from radius  $r_b$  to radius  $r_s$ , is as follows:

$$t = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{r_b r}}{\sqrt{2GM}} \sqrt{\frac{r_b}{r} - 1} + \sqrt{\frac{r_b^3}{2GM}} \arctg \sqrt{\frac{r_b}{r} - 1} \right] \Big|_{r_b}^{r_s} = \frac{\sqrt{r_b r_s}}{\sqrt{2GM}} \sqrt{\frac{r_b}{r_s} - 1} + \sqrt{\frac{r_b^3}{2GM}} \arctg \sqrt{\frac{r_b}{r_s} - 1}. \quad (16)$$

The maximum time is reached when the matter falls to the point center with the radius

$r_s = 0$ . Assuming that  $r_b = \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi\rho_b}\right)^{1/3}$ , in the

$$\text{case of (16), } t_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{r_b^3}{2GM}} = \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_b}}.$$

This time depends only on the initial mass density  $\rho_b$  of the cloud and is estimated, since the solution does not consider the pressure forces

in the gas cloud, which rapidly increase as the radius decreases.

Considering this approach, two scenarios are possible. In the first of them, the matter of the observable Universe with an average density  $\rho_b$  arises from praons, the smallest particles of the lowest level of matter, in a period of time determined by the physical conditions of this process. To understand how a nucleon can be formed from a set of praons, it is enough to imagine a similar process, in which a set of nucleons in a large gas cloud is compressed to the maximum extent under the action of gravitation. If the mass of the emerging star is large enough, then the result of its evolution would be a supernova and the birth of a neutron star. Praons, nucleons, and neutron stars are similar because they have the highest possible mass densities and the strongest electromagnetic fields at their levels of matter. In this case, it is assumed that at the level of nucleons the particles' matter is held together not by ordinary gravitation but by strong gravitation [10], [15].

In the second case, baryonic matter is first created; this matter is distributed in space with a certain mass density  $\rho_m$  and subsequently compressed to density  $\rho_b$ . Let  $\rho_b$  significantly exceed  $\rho_m$ . By substituting  $r_b = \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi\rho_b}\right)^{1/3}$  for

$r_s$  and  $r_m = \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi\rho_m}\right)^{1/3}$  for  $r_b$  in Eq. (16) and neglecting the first term, we obtain

$$t_m \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_m}}. \quad \text{As an estimate, we will}$$

substitute here  $\rho_b = 2.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{ kg/m}^3$  for  $\rho_m$  and will obtain the corresponding minimum compression time, if it actually took place:

$$t_{\min} \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_b}} = 145 \text{ billion years.}$$

For comparison, in the standard cosmological  $\Lambda$ -CDM model, based on general relativity, the age of the Metagalaxy is estimated to be approximately 13.8 billion years. Moreover, to account for the spatial flatness, homogeneity, isotropy, and large-scale structure of the Universe, this model invokes the hypothesis of cosmological inflation during the early stages of

the Big Bang. The exotic character of such inflation is associated with the fact that during a period of time from  $10^{-42}$  sec to  $10^{-36}$  sec after the start of the Big Bang at the initial Planck matter density of approximately  $10^{96}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>, the radius of the Metagalaxy should have increased by a factor of  $10^{26}$  [23]. As can be seen from the estimates made above, if the hypothesis of cosmological inflation is not used, the minimum age of the observable Universe should be an order of magnitude greater than in the  $\Lambda$  – CDM model.

We can consider a typical CMB source as a relativistic uniform system and estimate its internal thermal energy using the virial theorem [24-25]. Considering the contributions of gravitational energy and pressure field energy to the system's potential energy, according to [26], the following relation is obtained for the kinetic energy  $E_k$ :

$$E_k \approx \frac{81Gm^2}{100\sqrt{14}a}. \quad (17)$$

However, the energy  $E_k$  can be approximately expressed in terms of the average temperature  $T_s$  of the source:

$$E_k \approx \frac{3mkT_s}{2m_p}, \quad (18)$$

where the ratio of the source mass to the nucleon mass in the form  $\frac{m}{m_p} = N$  specifies the total number of nucleons  $N$  as an estimate of the total number of atoms, and  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant.

Comparing expressions (17) and (18) for  $E_k$  in view of the relation  $m = \frac{4\pi a^3 \rho_s}{3}$ , yields the following:

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{25\sqrt{14}kT_s}{18\pi Gm_p \rho_s}}. \quad (19)$$

A primordial gas-dust cloud with mass  $m$ , which is the source of CMB, can be considered as a blackbody, in which matter is in thermal equilibrium with CMB radiation. The radiation energy density inside the cloud should be equal

to  $u_s = \frac{4\sigma T_s^4}{c}$ . When a typical CMB source is formed in the form of a gas-dust cloud, the binding energy  $\Delta E$  should be released, which is equal in order of magnitude to the total kinetic energy  $E_k$  of the cloud particles. A more precise estimate in [26] gives

$$\Delta E \approx \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{14\sqrt{14}}{27} - 1 \right) E_k \approx 1.57 E_k. \text{ We can assume}$$

that the binding energy  $\Delta E$  is radiated from the cloud by means of CMB radiation. In this case, the following equality must be satisfied:

$$u_s = \frac{4\sigma T_s^4}{c} = \frac{\Delta E}{V_s} = \frac{4.71 E_k}{4\pi a^3}, \quad (20)$$

where  $V_s = \frac{4\pi a^3}{3}$  is the cloud's volume.

Substituting  $E_k$  from (18) in (20) and considering the relation  $m = \rho_s V_s$ , we find:

$$\rho_s = \frac{8\sigma T_s^3 m_p}{4.71ck}. \quad (21)$$

## 6. Parameters of CMB Sources

Relations (11), (19), and (21) can be considered as a system of three equations to determine unknown quantities  $a$ ,  $T_s$  and  $\rho_s$ .

Substituting  $\rho_s$  (21) into (19), we get:

$$a = \frac{5k}{12T_s m_p} \sqrt{\frac{4.71\sqrt{14}c}{\pi G \sigma}}. \quad (22)$$

Multiplying  $a$  (22) by  $\rho_s$  (21), we find:

$$a\rho_s = \frac{10T_s^2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{14}\sigma}{4.71\pi c G}}. \quad (23)$$

Substituting (23) into (11) leads to a quadratic equation for  $T_s^2$ :

$$T_s^4 - T_s^2 \frac{40HT^4}{9\rho_b} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{14}\sigma}{4.71\pi c^3 G}} - T^4 = 0. \quad (24)$$

Solving equation (24) gives the surface temperature of a typical CMB source:



$$T_s = T \sqrt{\frac{20HT^2}{9\rho_b} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{14}\sigma}{4.71\pi c^3 G}} + \sqrt{\frac{400\sqrt{14}H^2 T^4 \sigma}{81(4.71)\pi c^3 G \rho_b^2}} + 1} = 3.472\text{K}. \quad (25)$$

Substituting  $T_s$  (25) into (22) and into (21), we obtain the radius  $a$  of the CMB source in the form of a gas-dust cloud and the density  $\rho_s$  of the substance of the cloud:

$$a = 2.088 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}, \quad \rho_s = 1.629 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg/m}^3. \quad (26)$$

The radius of the cloud in (26) reaches the value  $a = 0.68 \text{ pc}$ .

Next, taking into account (26), we find the mass of the source:

$$m = \frac{4\pi a^3 \rho_s}{3} = 6.21 \times 10^{31} \text{ kg or } 31.2 M_c, \quad (27)$$

where  $M_c$  is the mass of the Sun.

Parameters (26-27) of a typical CMB source correspond to a rather large gas-dust cloud, the particles of which acquire their kinetic energy due to gravitational work to compress matter. When the particles collide, the energy of motion is converted into heat and can then be radiated in the form of CMB quanta.

If we take into account that the obtained in (26-27) parameters of the sources belong to gas clouds in the early Universe, then we can expect that the first stars appeared precisely in such clouds. Later, similar clouds could give rise to the first open star clusters, which became the main elements of emerging galaxies. For comparison, the number of stars in currently observed open star clusters can be more than one hundred, the typical masses of clusters can exceed  $50M_c$ , the core radius can reach approximately  $0.6 \text{ pc}$ , and the radius of the corona in a typical cluster can reach  $6 \text{ pc}$ .

The concentration of CMB sources in the early Universe is found through the density of baryonic matter  $\rho_b$  and the mass  $m$  of a typical source according to the first relation in (11):

$$n = \frac{\rho_b}{m} = 3.4 \times 10^{-60} \text{ m}^{-3}. \quad (28)$$

If we assume that each source is located in a certain cubic volume in a cubic lattice, then the

shortest distance between the nearest sources will equal  $R_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{n}} \approx 6.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}$ . This means that the distance between the centers of the nearest sources is  $\frac{R_s}{a} \approx 3.2 \times 10^3$  times greater than the radius  $a$  of a typical source and is equal to the value on the order of  $R_s \approx 2.16 \text{ kpc}$  at  $a = 0.68 \text{ pc}$  according to (26).

The value of  $L$ , that is CMB generation power per unit volume of the Universe, is found from (9) taking into account  $T_s$  (25),  $a$  (26), and  $n$  (28), or from (7) taking into account the relations  $I = \sigma T^4$ ,  $s = \pi a^2$ :

$$L = 4\pi \sigma T_s^4 a^2 n = 4\sigma T^4 \left( \frac{H}{c} + \pi a^2 n \right) = 1.53 \times 10^{-31} \text{ W/m}^3. \quad (29)$$

The values of  $L$  may differ slightly in different directions, reflecting the variability of the Hubble parameter and the spatial matter distribution.

The average concentration of nucleons in the Universe is  $n_b = \frac{\rho_b}{m_p} = 0.125 \text{ m}^{-3}$ . Taking this into account, from (29), the power of CMB energy generation per nucleon of the Universe is determined:

$$\frac{L}{n_b} = 1.22 \times 10^{-30} \text{ W/ nucleon}. \quad (30)$$

Dividing the binding energy  $\Delta E \approx 1.57 E_k$  of one SMB source by the number  $N = \frac{m}{m_p}$  of nucleons in this source, taking into account expressions  $E_k$  (18) and  $T_s$  (25), we find the binding energy per nucleon:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{N} = \frac{4.71 k T_s}{2} = 1.1 \times 10^{-22} \text{ J/ nucleon}. \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, an estimate of the photon's concentration in the volume of a CMB source in a state of temperature equilibrium between radiation and matter at the temperature  $T_s = 3.472 \text{ K}$  is obtained as  $n_f = \frac{\beta s_f}{k} = 8.49 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-3}$ , where

$s_f = \frac{16\sigma T_s^3}{3c} = \frac{4u_s}{3T_s} = 4.22 \times 10^{-14} \text{ J/(K} \cdot \text{m}^3)$  is the volumetric density of the CMB entropy and the coefficient  $\beta = \frac{45}{4\pi^4} \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi^2 d\xi}{e^\xi - 1} \approx 0.2776...$

Dividing the photon energy density  $u_s = \frac{4\sigma T_s^4}{c}$  (20) by the photon concentration  $n_f$ , we obtain the average energy per photon:

$$\frac{u_s}{n_f} = \frac{3kT_s}{4\beta} = 1.29 \times 10^{-22} \text{ J/photon.} \quad (32)$$

Note that the binding energy per nucleon  $\frac{\Delta E}{N}$  in (31) and the energy per photon  $\frac{u_s}{n_f}$  in (32) are close to each other in magnitude. After the photons leave the CMB sources, fill outer space, and reach the Earth, their average temperature decreases from the value  $T_s = 3.472 \text{ K}$  to value  $T = 2.7255 \text{ K}$ . In this case, the energy of a photon with a frequency of  $\nu_m = 160.23 \text{ GHz}$  corresponding to the maximum in CMB radiation at temperature  $T = 2.7255 \text{ K}$  is equal to  $h\nu_m = 1.06 \times 10^{-22} \text{ J}$ .

Hence, the ratio of the number of CMB photons in cosmic space to the number of matter nucleons present in this space should be on the order of unity. On average, we can assume that each nucleon of the observable Universe produces only one CMB photon.

In the course of our calculations, we assumed that all the nucleons present in the Universe with an average density of  $\rho_b = 2.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{ kg/m}^3$  were compressed by gravitation into primordial gas-dust clouds with an average density of  $\rho_s = 1.629 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg/m}^3$  (26). These clouds play the role of typical CMB sources. Let  $V_s = \frac{m}{\rho_s} = \frac{4\pi a^3}{3}$  denote the volume of a typical source, and let  $V$  denote the volume of the same mass of matter in the homogeneous Universe with density  $\rho_b$  and with the same mass  $m$  (27). Then, the ratio of the volumes equals

$\frac{V}{V_s} = \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_b} = 7.76 \times 10^9$ . Now, in view of  $E_k$  (18), the binding energy  $\Delta E \approx 1.57 E_k$  of one

source, and the number of nucleons  $N = \frac{m}{m_p}$  in

the source, we can estimate the average CMB energy density in the Universe in the following form:

$$\bar{u} = \frac{\Delta E}{V} = \frac{1.57 E_k \rho_b}{V_s \rho_s} = \frac{1.57 E_k \rho_b}{m} = \frac{1.57 \rho_b}{m} \frac{3 k T_s N}{2} = \frac{4.71 \rho_b k T_s}{2 m_p} = 1.4 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/m}^3. \quad (33)$$

When deriving relation (20), we use the expression  $u_s = \frac{\Delta E}{V_s}$ . Combined with (33) and

the relation  $\frac{V}{V_s} = \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_b} = 7.76 \times 10^9$ , this gives the following:

$$\frac{u_s}{\bar{u}} = \frac{V}{V_s} = \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_b} = 7.76 \times 10^9. \quad (34)$$

In Eq. (34), a significant difference emerges between the average CMB energy density  $\bar{u}$  in (33) and the photon energy density  $u_s$  that would exist if photons were in thermal equilibrium with the matter of the CMB sources. The difference in magnitudes of  $u_s$  and  $\bar{u}$  arises because the CMB generated within the volume  $V_s$  of each source was in equilibrium with matter only inside that volume. When the radiation from each source spreads into the larger cosmic volume  $V$  and mixes with radiation from nearby sources, the CMB energy density decreases from  $u_s$  to  $\bar{u}$ . As a result, the CMB observed on Earth is thermal radiation with an energy density  $\bar{u}$  in the Universe.

In the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model, the difference in quantities of  $u_s$  and  $\bar{u}$  is not taken into account, and it is assumed that the energy density of the CMB is equal to  $u = \frac{4\sigma T^4}{c} = 4.17 \times 10^{-14} \text{ J/m}^3$  in the entire space of the Universe at a temperature of  $T = 2.7255 \text{ K}$  of CMB.

In this case, the estimate of the CMB photon concentration in the form

$$n_f = \frac{\beta s_f}{k} = \frac{16\beta \sigma T^3}{3ck} = \frac{4\beta u}{3kT} = 4.1 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-3}$$

applies to the entire Universe. Then, the ratio of the number of CMB photons to the number of

$$\text{nucleons will equal } \frac{n_f}{n_b} = \frac{n_f m_p}{\rho_b} = 3.3 \times 10^9,$$

which is close in magnitude to the ratio of the volumes  $\frac{V}{V_s}$  in (34).

Hence, the following question arises: why is the number of photons so much greater than the number of nucleons? This problem, known in cosmology as the entropy problem, is usually solved via the concept of a hot Universe based on the assumption of adiabatic space expansion from the initial state of equilibrium of radiation and matter.

In contrast, in our approach, the numbers of CMB photons and nucleons are approximately the same, and there is no need for a hot Universe. Since the SMB is currently not in equilibrium with matter, the formula for energy

$$\text{density } u = \frac{4\sigma T^4}{c} \text{ cannot be applied to the}$$

entire Universe. Indeed, the primordial gas-dust clouds, which served as CMB sources in the early Universe, were distributed discretely, occupied only a small fraction of space, and therefore could not act as a global blackbody encompassing the entire Universe.

## 7. The Angular Harmonics of CMB

Using the Fourier transform, the observed CMB power spectrum can be expanded in terms of spherical harmonics [27-28].

The spherical harmonic  $\ell = 0$  in the angular power spectrum corresponds to the average CMB temperature. The dipole anisotropy ( $\ell = 1$ ) on the CMB temperature map has an amplitude of approximately 0.1% and is attributed to the Doppler effect caused by the motion of the Earth and Sun relative to the reference frame in which the CMB intensity is isotropic [29]. This motion alters the observed CMB wavelength depending on the angle between the Earth's velocity in cosmic space and the direction of the sky region from which the CMB originates.

The spherical harmonics  $\ell \geq 2$  are related to CMB temperature fluctuations, the root-mean-square deviation of which reaches several tens of

$\mu\text{K}$  relative to the average temperature. The  $\Lambda - \text{CDM}$  model assumes that such temperature fluctuations could be caused by fluctuations in the density of matter in the early Universe, which had the state of a very dense hot plasma of electrons and baryons.

One alternative explanation is that the angular power spectrum of the CMB can be obtained if CMB photons, upon their appearance, interact with matter, which was structured into some objects, clusters, and particles [30]. The average distance between the centers of the objects in the case of their cubic arrangement is approximately 108 m, the mass of one object is  $8.8 \times 10^{-17}$  kg, and the mass density of the object is  $9 \times 10^{-23}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Similarly, there are clusters inside the objects, the distance between the centers of which is about 12 cm. If their mass density is  $9 \times 10^{-23}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>, then the cluster mass is  $1.2 \times 10^{-25}$  kg. The position and amplitude of the main peak of the CMB power spectrum at  $\ell \approx 360$  and of the subsequent peaks depend mainly on the mutual distances between the mentioned objects, and on their mass density and internal structure.

It is assumed that each cluster contains 40 to 100 particles, such as protons, helium nuclei, and electrons, representing an atomic-molecular complex primarily composed of hydrogen and helium. On average, each object contains approximately  $10^9$  clusters. The results obtained for the structure of objects, clusters, and particles were found under the condition of using a radiation wavelength equal to 1.9 mm. This wavelength corresponds to the maximum blackbody spectrum distribution at the temperature  $T = 2.7255$  K and most exactly reflects the properties of CMB from the standpoint of structural analysis. Moreover, the angular power spectrum of CMB radiation is the same for all wavelengths of the CMB.

The presented parameters can be combined with the described scheme of CMB emergence in the early Universe, in which matter evolution first leads to the formation of nucleons and electrons. Then, gravitation compresses the matter into gas-dust clouds and sets the matter particles in motion. When the particles collide, the kinetic energy is converted into thermal energy and is radiated in the form of CMB photons. The mass density of objects in [30] is

less than the mass density of gas clouds,  $\rho_s = 1.629 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg/m}^3$ , as found in (26). We can assume that the objects in [30] were located in the less dense part of the shell of gas clouds. Then, CMB photons, passing through these objects, clusters, and particles inside them, can form the currently observed angular radiation power spectrum.

The possibility that these objects, clusters, and particles could appear in primordial gas clouds follows from the value of the Jeans mass [31], which can be simplified as follows:

$$M_J \approx 26M_c \left( \frac{T}{10} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{10^9}{n} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (35)$$

The temperature  $T$  in (35) must be specified in K, and the particle concentration  $n$  must be specified in  $m^{-3}$ .

Let us substitute in (35) the cloud surface temperature  $T_s = 3.472 \text{ K}$  (25) instead of  $T$ , and take into account  $\rho_s = 1.629 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg/m}^3$  (26) and the concentration of cloud particles  $n_s = \frac{\rho_s}{m_p} = 9.7 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-3}$  instead of  $n$ . This gives  $M_J = 5.4M_c$ . The Jeans mass is less than the gas cloud mass  $m = 31.2M_c$  (27), which allows fragmentation of the cloud into smaller structural components.

The nonuniform distribution of matter in cosmic space also contributes to the small-scale fluctuations in the CMB temperature. Thus, correlations between the optical radiation of galaxies and CMB fluctuations are described in [32], and for radio sources, such correlations are presented in [33]. The cold anomalies in CMB temperature are mysterious and cannot be explained from the standpoint of the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model, the most famous of which is the WMAP cold spot discovered by the WMAP space observatory in the Eridanus constellation [34–35]. The cold spot is approximately  $dT = 73 \text{ } \mu\text{K}$  colder than the CMB temperature,  $T = 2.7255 \text{ K}$ .

These anomalies can be explained as follows. Since  $I = \sigma T^4$  for CMB, in the first approximation, we have  $dI = 4\sigma T^3 dT$ . If we direct the radiation receiver exactly to the

anomalous spot, then in (6), we can set  $Q = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,

$\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and we can write the following:

$$dI = 4\sigma T^3 dT = \frac{L \exp\left(-\frac{Hr}{c}\right) \exp(-snr) dV}{4\pi r^2}. \quad (36)$$

According to (7) and considering the relation  $I = \sigma T^4$ , we find:

$$I = \sigma T^4 = \frac{L}{4\left(\frac{H}{c} + sn\right)}, \quad L = 4\sigma T^4 \left(\frac{H}{c} + sn\right). \quad (37)$$

Substituting  $L$  (37) into the expression for  $dI$  (36), we find the relationship between the temperature difference  $dT$ , the distance  $r$ , and the volume  $dV$  generating CMB radiation and leading to the contribution  $dT$  to the CMB temperature  $T$ :

$$dT = \frac{T \left(\frac{H}{c} + sn\right) \exp\left(-\frac{Hr}{c}\right) \exp(-snr) dV}{4\pi r^2}. \quad (38)$$

Equation (38) assumes that the volume  $dV$  contains primordial gas-dust clouds functioning as CMB sources, which, on average, have the mass density of  $\rho_s = 1.629 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg/m}^3$  (26)

and the concentration of  $n = \frac{\rho_b}{m} = 3.4 \times 10^{-60} \text{ m}^{-3}$  (28) in the early Universe. In this case, the concentration  $n$  appears in two terms of Eq.

(38). An increase in  $n$  in the term  $\left(\frac{H}{c} + sn\right)$

leads to an increase of  $dT$ , while the term  $\exp(-snr)$  acts in the opposite way, reducing  $dT$ . Thus, if in a certain direction in the volume  $dV$  the concentration of sources differs from the value  $n$  due to some anomaly, this will lead to a change of  $dT$  in (38).

We can see from the relation  $n = \frac{\rho_b}{m}$  that if the mass  $m$  of a typical CMB source is constant, then the change in the concentration of sources  $n$  can be associated with a local change in the mass density of baryons  $\rho_b$  in the volume  $dV$  under consideration. Thus, fluctuations in the mass density  $\rho_b$  in cosmic space can influence

fluctuations in the measured CMB temperature in various directions.

According to (8), the redshift  $z$  in the model under consideration can be related to the distance

$r$  by the formula:  $r = \frac{c}{H} \ln(z+1)$ . As an

example, let us place a certain volume  $dV$  at a distance corresponding to  $z = 2$ , that is, at

$r = \frac{c}{H} \ln 3 = 4.7 \text{ Gpc}$ . Let us assume that  $dT$

equal to  $20 \text{ } \mu\text{K}$ , which is close to the value of the root-mean-square small-scale CMB fluctuations.

Using further the relation  $s = \pi a^2$ , the values of

$a = 2.088 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}$  (26) and  $n = 3.4 \times 10^{-60} \text{ m}^{-3}$

(28), from (38), we find  $dV = 9.35 \times 10^{74} \text{ m}^3$ , which for a spherical volume gives the radius of

this volume of the order of  $R_v = 197 \text{ Mpc}$ . This

radius is close to that of the well-known Giant Void in the constellation Canes Venatici [36]. Consequently, according to (38), the presence of such voids naturally leads to the observed CMB temperature fluctuations.

It is known that for sufficiently large spherical harmonics  $\ell$  the relation  $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{\ell}$

holds, where  $\theta$  is the effective sky viewing angle [28]. The main peak in the CMB angular power spectrum occurs at  $\ell \approx 360$ , which corresponds to the angle  $\theta \approx 1^\circ$ . Moreover, if the harmonic number  $\ell < 360$  and  $\ell$  decreases, and the angle  $\theta > 1^\circ$  and  $\theta$  increases, the power in the angular spectrum decreases gradually without any particular peaks. Why do harmonics with small  $\ell$  manifest in the spectrum in a different way than harmonics with large  $\ell > 360$ , which form the spectrum in the form of sinusoid damping in amplitude?

For the above example with the volume  $dV$ , let us calculate the angle  $\theta$  in radians using the formula:  $\theta = \frac{2R_v}{r} = 0,084$ , and the angle

$\theta^\circ = \frac{180 \theta}{\pi} = 4^\circ,8$  in degrees. The angle  $\theta$  in

radians corresponds to the spherical harmonic

$\ell = \frac{2\pi}{\theta} = 75$  in the CMB angular power

spectrum. It turns out that supervoids with a low density of matter or, on the contrary, denser

regions of space can make a significant contribution to CMB temperature fluctuations only at small  $\ell$ . As for the appearance of spherical harmonics with large values  $\ell > 360$  in the power spectrum, in the model presented above, according to [30], they are explained by the fact that CMB radiation interacts with matter, which is structured into some objects, clusters, and particles. Thus, different mechanisms lead to different forms of the CMB power spectrum for small and large spherical harmonics. In contrast, the  $\Lambda - \text{CDM}$  model has difficulties explaining the low power and form of the angular spectrum for harmonics associated with large angles  $\theta$  and with small  $\ell$  [37].

## 8. Infrared and Optical Background Radiation

The dependence of the angular intensity  $J$  on the cosmic background radiation frequency in [9] shows that there are other angular intensity peaks near the CMB, including those of the cosmic infrared background (CIB) and the cosmic optical background (COB). The total intensity of CIB and COB radiation is almost 10 times less than the intensity of cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB).

It is believed that the main contribution to CIB and COB comes from the processing of radiation from protostars and young stars by cosmic dust. We can make this assumption more concrete. Let us apply the obtained results with respect to the CMB to estimate the parameters of space objects, which could produce CIB and COB radiation.

We can assume that the maximum  $J$  for the CIB is obtained at a radiation frequency of  $\nu_{CIB} \approx 2 \times 10^{12} \text{ Hz}$ , and for the COB, the maximum occurs at a frequency of  $\nu_{COB} \approx 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ . As a first approximation, let us assume that the Wien displacement law for radiation from a black body is valid for the radiation frequency. This gives the corresponding radiation temperatures  $T_{CIB} = 34 \text{ K}$  and  $T_{COB} = 5103 \text{ K}$ .

Substituting temperatures  $T_{CIB}$  and  $T_{COB}$  in (25) instead of temperature  $T$  makes it possible to estimate the surface temperatures of CIB and COB sources in the early Universe:

$$T_{sCIB} = 426 \text{ K. } T_{sCOB} = 9.6 \times 10^6 \text{ K.} \quad (39)$$

Substituting temperatures from Eq. (39) into Eqs. (21) and (22) instead of  $T_s$ , we obtain the corresponding mass densities and radii of the CIB and COB sources. We can also estimate the masses of sources by multiplying the mass density by the volume of the corresponding source:

$$\rho_{sCIB} = 3.01 \times 10^{-12} \text{ kg/m}^3. \quad a_{CIB} = 1.7 \times 10^{14} \text{ m.} \\ m_{CIB} = 6.19 \times 10^{31} \text{ kg.} \quad (40)$$

$$\rho_{sCOB} = 34 \text{ kg/m}^3. \quad a_{COB} = 7.5 \times 10^9 \text{ m.} \\ m_{COB} = 6.008 \times 10^{31} \text{ kg.} \quad (41)$$

According to (40), the sources of CIB radiation are gas-dust clouds with radii of the order of  $a_{CIB} = 1136 \text{ AU}$  and with masses of  $m_{CIB} = 31.1 M_c$ . For comparison, in the Solar System, the dwarf planet Sedna at aphelion moves away from the Sun to a distance of 937 AU. From (41) it follows that the COB radiation sources are objects with mass  $m_{COB} = 30.2 M_c$  and with a radius of the order of  $a_{COB} = 10.8 R_c$ , where  $R_c$  is the radius of the Sun.

In (26) and (27), it was found that a typical CMB radiation source has a radius of 0.68 pc and a mass of the order of  $31.2 M_c$ . The sources of radiation CIB and COB in (40)-(41) also have masses of the order of  $31 M_c$ . It turns out that during cosmological evolution, CMB microwave radiation sources first become isolated in the form of gas clouds with a radius of the order of 0.68 pc. When these clouds are subsequently compressed by gravitational forces to a radius of the order of  $a_{CIB} = 1136 \text{ AU}$ , protoplanetary systems containing gas and dust arise, leading to the infrared background radiation CIB. The compression of clouds slows down due to the appearance of pressure in the gas, and the process of planet formation begins in the clouds. At the same time, the bulk of gas and dust in the center of each cloud continues to compress. As a result, primordial stars emerge, producing optical background radiation COB. Nuclear reactions begin in the depths of these stars, preventing the gravitational compression of matter. Thus, the CMB, CIB, and COB emissions are associated

with the most long-term and equilibrium phases in the evolution of primordial gas-dust clouds.

Since the masses of CIB and COB sources approximately coincide with the mass  $m = 31.2 M_c$  (27) of CMB sources, the concentration of CIB and COB sources in the early Universe approximately coincides with the concentration  $n = \frac{\rho_b}{m} = 3.4 \times 10^{-60} \text{ m}^{-3}$  (28) of

CMB sources. Due to their large masses, primordial stars that are the sources of COB should transform into neutron stars. Thus, the concentration  $n$  can be considered as the concentration of primordial neutron stars. The average distance between such stars can be estimated using the formula

$$R_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{n}} \approx 6.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m or } R_s \approx 2.16 \text{ kpc. On}$$

the other hand, the observable Universe has a volume of the order of  $3.6 \times 10^{80} \text{ m}^3$ , which contains about  $10^{24}$  stars [38]. The concentration of stars in the Universe is on the order of  $3.6 \times 10^{-56} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . Comparing the concentration of all stars with the concentration of primordial neutron stars leads to the fact that there are about  $10^4$  ordinary stars per neutron star. This ratio of stars is indeed confirmed by observations.

Modern instruments allow us to measure angular power spectra not only for CMB, but also for CIB radiation [39]. Thus, the methods for analyzing the structure of radiating objects, developed in [30] for CMB, can also be applied to CIB radiation. According to [30], the mass density of the medium, which contains objects, clusters, and particles and is responsible for the appearance of CMB angular harmonics, equals  $9 \times 10^{-23} \text{ kg/m}^3$ . This mass density does not exceed the mass density in (40-41) of the objects, which can be the sources of CIB and COB radiation. This implies the possibility that the cause of harmonics in the CMB and CIB power spectra may be the same objects, clusters, and particles located in the shells of the corresponding gas-dust clouds at different stages of compression of these clouds.

In (34), it was shown that the formula for the energy density of CMB  $u_s = \frac{4\sigma T_s^4}{c} = 1.1 \times 10^{-13}$

$\text{J/m}^3$  (20), where  $T_s = 3.472 \text{ K}$  is the temperature of CMB sources in the early Universe, cannot be applied to the entire Universe. Instead of  $u_s$ , a significantly lower average volumetric energy density of the CMB was calculated, namely  $\bar{u} = 1.4 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/m}^3$  according to (33). This occurs because the gas mass  $m$ , occupying the volume  $V$  in (34) in the initially homogeneous Universe with the mass density  $\rho_b$ , only after being compressed into a gas cloud with a volume  $V_s$  and density  $\rho_s$  begins to radiate like a blackbody with the temperature  $T_s = 3.472 \text{ K}$ . As CMB photons move through space, their energy decreases due to cosmological redshift. In addition, photons interact with the matter of many CMB sources and are partially scattered. As a result, the intensity of the CMB radiation decreases, and the spectrum of CMB photons becomes close to the observed spectrum of the radiation of a blackbody with temperature  $T = 2.7255 \text{ K}$ .

For CIB and COB radiation, the situation is largely similar. As in the case of CMB radiation, CIB and COB radiation are nonequilibrium, and no global blackbody consisting of matter and enclosing the entire Universe exists for these radiation fields. Thus, in practice, we always observe discrete radiation sources which, at sufficiently large distances, merge into an almost uniform background.

## 9. Discussion of Results

A well-known problem of the Big Bang theory in cosmology is the complete lack of understanding of the nature of such an explosion and of the origin of matter as such. The subsequent use of the general theory of relativity in the  $\Lambda - \text{CDM}$  model adds new problems, such as singularities and metric space expansion, which are incomprehensible from the perspective of physics, as well as the appearance of unidentified dark matter and mystical dark energy. The use of multiple fitting parameters in the  $\Lambda - \text{CDM}$  model further undermines the credibility of the modern version of the Big Bang theory.

In [40], six fitting parameters are listed, and it is concluded that despite the accuracy of the results' fitting, it is still not enough to consider the  $\Lambda - \text{CDM}$  model correct. In [13], the

following conclusion was reached: "However, in cosmology, it has unfortunately been the case that even a long series of failed predictions has not generally led to the rejection of theories, but rather to their unlimited modification with ad hoc hypotheses, such as inflation, non-baryonic matter, and dark energy".

It is noted in [41] that it could be possible to improve the situation with predictions in the  $\Lambda - \text{CDM}$  model if, in addition to the seven fitting parameters of the model, we would assume, for example, the existence of early or dynamical dark energy, neutrino interactions, cosmological models with additional interactions, primordial magnetic fields, modified theories of gravitation, etc.

On the other hand, cosmology in the theory of infinite hierarchical nesting of matter finds the source and cause of origin of matter and the forms of its existence in the uniform evolutionary process of transformation of the main carriers at all matter levels [15]. This means, for example, that the evolution of the matter of planets and stars at the stellar level of matter is due to the evolution and action of carriers belonging to lower matter levels. Each matter level has its own main carrier as the most stable and balanced object, such as a neutron star, nucleon, praon, graon, etc., respectively. The similarity principle assumes the existence of the same coefficients of similarity in mass, size, and speed of processes between the respective objects of the adjacent matter levels, which allows us to find the physical parameters of the main carriers of matter. As a consequence, a neutron star contains as many nucleons as each nucleon contains praons, and as each praon contains graons.

The main driving forces for matter evolution are electromagnetic and gravitational forces, which can be reduced to the action of carriers of the lowest matter levels, moving at relativistic speeds [10], [42-46]. This point of view is supported in [47] by the fact that the evolution of matter in the early Universe turns out to be little dependent on external factors and is determined mainly by internal factors.

It follows from the principle of similarity of matter levels that the analogs of a neutron star at the nucleon level of matter are nucleons, and the analogs of white dwarfs are the so-called nuons [4], which have the same mass range as

nucleons. Nuons are similar in their properties to muons, but the origins of these particles are different: nuons emerge in a manner analogous to the formation of white dwarfs in the course of the long-term evolution of matter, whereas muons appear mainly during the rapid decay of pions, which may be regarded as analogs of low-mass and therefore unstable in the decay of neutron stars. Moreover, neutral nuons play the role of dark matter, which manifests itself through gravitational effects both on the motion of stars and gas clouds inside galaxies, and on the motion of galaxies themselves during their interaction [48]. In contrast, the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model encounters difficulties in explaining dark matter and in describing its evolution and origin.

The CMB generation process continues to occur today, although on a smaller scale. The properties of the coldest dark nebulae with masses up to  $100M_c$  are quite close to those of primordial gas-dust clouds. Thus, practically opaque Bok globules, which are distinguished by their black color, have a temperature in the range from several degrees to 30 K and a typical mass of up to  $30M_c$ . From the standpoint of thermal radiation, such objects can model the properties of a blackbody quite well. Here, we provide as examples references to the spectra of the infrared sources IRS 1 and IRS 2 in [49-50] and to the spectrum of the Bok globule B335 in [51].

One review [52] described the properties of 248 small molecular clouds, most of which are Bok globules. It is assumed that in our Galaxy system, the average distance between such globules is 600 pc, and the average mass of a globule is approximately  $11M_c$ . This distance can be compared with the value  $R_s \approx 2.16$  kpc found for the distance between the primordial gas-dust clouds of the early Universe through the concentration  $n$  of CMB sources in (28).

According to [52], for most globules the radiation temperature of gas does not exceed 4.5 K, and the kinetic temperature does not exceed 8.5 K. Since globules are heated by radiation from the surrounding star background, the dust temperature in globules turns out to be higher than the gas temperature and depends on the measured frequency band and on the size of the dust particles. On average, the dust temperature is close to 25 K. Under such conditions, the

spectrum of some globules appears to be not the blackbody spectrum at one fixed temperature, but rather the sum of the spectra of individual components consisting of gas and dust.

In [53], by analyzing the absorption lines of water molecules in a large cloud of water vapor near the HFLS3 galaxy, it was found that the temperature required for this exciting radiation ranges from 16.4 to 30.2 K. Since the HFLS3 galaxy has a redshift of the order of magnitude  $z = 6.34$  and is located sufficiently far away, this temperature is considered from the point of view of the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model as the CMB temperature at an earlier time. Moreover, due to the space expansion since then, the CMB temperature should have decreased to the current value of  $T = 2.7255$  K.

On the other hand, radiation at a temperature ranging from 16.4 to 30.2 K is quite typical for gas clouds and Bok globules under the action of radiation from surrounding stars, including those in the most distant galaxies. In the model we are considering, the CMB temperature at the moment of emission coincides with the temperature  $T_s = 3.472$  K (25) of gas-dust clouds with masses on the order of  $31M_c$ , so distant from us that their redshift is much greater than the redshift of the observed galaxies.

How does the CMB radiation spectrum almost exactly correspond to the blackbody spectrum? Here, the following circumstances can be taken into account. First, we assume that there were no stars around the primordial gas-dust clouds of the early Universe that could noticeably heat the clouds and influence the form of the clouds' spectrum. Then, the spectrum of each cloud could be sufficiently close to the spectrum of a blackbody with the temperature  $T_s = 3.472$  K.

Another circumstance is associated with the size of the visible Universe. Substituting in (8) the maximum measured value of redshift  $z = 1089$  for the CMB according to [54] at  $H = 70$  km/(s·Mpc), we find the radius of the visible Universe  $r = 9.24 \times 10^{26}$  m or 30 Gpc.

Light can travel this distance in  $t = \frac{r}{c} = 98$  billion years. This time is less than the minimum



compression time of  $t_{\min} \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_b}} = 145$

billion years, which was found according to (16) for the compression of all baryonic matter during the formation of the visible Universe.

It should be noted that, when measuring CMB intensity, it is necessary to exclude radiation from bright point sources, such as clusters of stars and galaxies, from the obtained data to determine the background radiation precisely. However, the redshift of the most distant observable galaxies does not exceed  $z = 12$ . For example, the redshift of the galaxy GN-z11 equals  $z = 11.09$  according to [55]. This redshift is significantly lower than the redshift of the CMB, which reaches  $z = 1089$ . Thus, CMB radiation travels the main part of its way in unexplored distant regions of cosmic space.

In (6) and (7), it was taken into account that the cross-sections of CMB sources in the early Universe and the concentration of sources are such that, at very large distances, these cross-sections begin to overlap. Consequently, the Beer–Lambert law becomes valid. As a result, the CMB radiation coming to the Earth from distant sources has enough time to interact with the matter of multiple closer sources and additionally thermalize. This approach inevitably turns the CMB spectrum into an averaged spectrum that is close to the equilibrium spectrum of a blackbody.

The presented model is consistent with the results in [56], where the so-called virial gas clouds located in the halo of galaxies make it possible to explain the rotational anisotropy observed in the CMB. In [57], within the framework of the standard model of the expanding Universe, the evolution of virial clouds from the surface of the last scattering to the formation of primordial stars of population III was considered. These virial clouds, which are also in thermal equilibrium with the CMB, as in our approach, have almost the same density as the primordial gas-dust clouds in our model. Thus, the conclusions in [56-57] concerning primordial gas-dust clouds prove our calculations.

The Earth and the Sun are known to move relative to the reference frame, in which the CMB is isotropic, at a speed of approximately

370 km/s. If we take into account the motion of the Sun in our galaxy and its motion in the Local Group of Galaxies, then the speed of the Local Group of Galaxies relative to the CMB's isotropic reference frame will be about  $V_g = 627$  km/s [58]. In the  $\Lambda$ –CDM model, the cosmological redshift is interpreted as a result of the Universe's expansion, which has the mathematical meaning of a change in the spacetime metric, caused by an unknown factor. The physical meaning of this space expansion is an obvious subject for discussion regarding the justifiability of the use of mathematical hypotheses in the real physics of phenomena. It is assumed that at large distances from the Earth, galaxies and other objects located there are moving away from each other at tremendous speeds due to space expansion. These speeds can significantly exceed the speed  $V_g$  of motion of the Local Group of Galaxies relative to the isotropic reference frame of the CMB. So, why from the entire speed spectrum do we observe a relatively small speed  $V_g$ ? Is it by chance?

From the viewpoint of the theory of infinite hierarchical nesting of matter, the answer lies in the fact that, for matter evolution and for the emergence of a new matter level with more massive objects, neither the Big Bang nor the metric expansion of spacetime nor high speeds of motion are needed. The deviation of the speed of galaxies and star clusters from the speed of the CMB's isotropic reference frame can be caused only by the gravitational action of galaxies on each other. When averaging the matter's speeds over the volume of the visible Universe, the obtained average speed must coincide with the speed of the CMB's reference frame because the CMB occurs in the early Universe and, on average, is stationary relative to the global distribution of matter.

Taking this into account, the problem of space flatness on cosmological scales becomes understandable when even at very large distances, spacetime is practically not curved. Therefore, there is no great need to calculate any curved metric, which is always needed in the general theory of relativity, even in flat Minkowski spacetime. In this case, instead of the general theory of relativity, it is more convenient to use the covariant theory of gravitation [59-60], in which the metric effects are separated from the gravitational effects. This means that

gravitation does not depend on a metric; rather, it is a real physical force, such as the electromagnetic force, which exists even in Minkowski spacetime, when there is no spacetime curvature.

Paradoxes arising from the concept of space expansion were analyzed in [61], including the violation of the law of conservation of energy for local comoving volumes, the Newtonian form of the Friedmann equations, the superluminal velocities of distant galaxies as a result of space expansion, and Hubble's law in inhomogeneous distributions of galaxies. The main reason for the appearance of such paradoxes lies in the general theory of relativity, which lacks an energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field. This omission raises doubts about the validity of applying general relativity to cosmological models.

It was noted in [62] that the time scale in the  $\Lambda$ -CDM model does not correspond to the time, which was required for the formation of large galactic clusters and voids in the early Universe. In this regard, it is assumed that this discrepancy may be due to the use of the general theory of relativity, which should be replaced with another gravitation theory, for example, modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND). The authors of [62] draw the following conclusion from their article: at the present moment, we understand neither the distribution of matter and energy in the Universe nor the law of gravitation, which governs this.

It was found in [63] that a supercluster of galaxies with a radius of about 6 Mpc rotated at an angular velocity  $\omega$  equal to  $^{\circ}2.9$  degrees per 10 billion years, or  $\omega = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . According to [64], large galactic clusters with sizes on the order of  $R_c \approx 800 \text{ Mpc}$  can experience general motion at velocities up to  $V_c \approx 1000 \text{ km/s}$ . Assuming that this motion arises from rotation, for the angular velocity in the first approximation, we have

$$\omega_c \approx \frac{V_c}{R_c} \approx 4 \times 10^{-20} \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad \text{The large-scale}$$

structure of the Universe has the form of a cosmic web and consists of individual filaments that contain galactic clusters. The difference  $\Delta V$  in the linear velocity of rotation of different points in the filaments can reach 100 km/s at a distance  $\Delta R$  between the points equal to 1 Mpc,

which gives an estimate of the angular velocity of rotation  $\omega_f \approx \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta R} \approx 3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$  according to [65].

If we assume that the entire visible Universe also rotates, then its limiting rotation can be estimated by the formula for the first cosmic velocity, assuming that the matter at the edge of the Universe is in equilibrium between the gravitational force and the centripetal force:

$$\omega_U = \sqrt{\frac{GM_U}{R_U^3}} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi G\rho_U}{3}}.$$

where  $M_U$ ,  $R_U$ , and  $\rho_U$  denote the mass, radius, and mass density of the Universe, respectively. Substituting here the mass density  $\rho_b = 2.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{ kg/m}^3$  instead of  $\rho_U$ , we find  $\omega_U = 2.4 \times 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which has the same order of magnitude with respect to the rotation of large galactic structures. This rotation corresponds to a period of approximately 830 billion years.

It is obvious that any general rotation of the observable Universe contradicts the Big Bang since, due to rotation, the Universe would have a nonzero angular momentum. In the scenario of the Big Bang and subsequent inflation up to the state of the observable Universe, it turns out that, taking into account the law of conservation of angular momentum, the object that gave rise to the Universe should have had an enormous angular momentum for its small size, which seems completely improbable. At the same time, in the hierarchical model, the object, from which the early Universe was formed, could consist of a huge cloud of praons. If this cloud with the sizes of the order of the observable Universe had any general rotation, then the emerging Universe would have the same rotation after the evolution of praonic matter and its transformation into nucleons and nuons.

We believe that other, less complex cosmological problems can also find their solution within the framework of the theory of infinite hierarchical nesting of matter and a stationary Universe. For example, in [66] it is indicated that expansion of space is not required to explain the change in luminosity in the spectra of supernovae. Thus, cosmology can be constructed with a minimum of assumptions and

paradoxical conclusions that contradict the traditional logic of physics.

## 10. Conclusions

Cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) and the effect of the cosmological redshift of radiation spectra are usually considered phenomena that find an acceptable explanation within the framework of the Big Bang theory. Indeed, these phenomena are difficult to interpret otherwise, which historically led to the formulation of the Big Bang concept. However, due to the significant drawbacks of this theory, which are described above, we consider this theory to be too exotic and radical and suggest another explanation for the emergence of CMB.

In our approach, the necessary source of CMB energy turns out to be gravitational energy, which, under matter clustering in primordial gas-dust clouds in the early Universe, is released in the form of the kinetic energy of motion of matter particles, according to the virial theorem. The subsequent collisions of particles convert kinetic energy into thermal energy, heating the particles, so that gas-dust clouds can radiate as blackbodies at the temperature of  $T_s = 3.472$  K (25). Over the time it takes for CMB radiation to travel from distant regions of the Universe to Earth, its temperature decreases to the value of  $T = 2.7255$  K.

Based on this approach, we first find formula (7) for the volumetric power  $L$  of CMB energy generation in cosmic space, and then we find the main characteristics of primordial gas-dust clouds, including their radius  $a \approx 0.68$  pc (26), mass  $m \approx 31M_c$  (27), volume concentration of clouds  $n \approx 3.4 \times 10^{-60} \text{ m}^{-3}$  (28), and distance between neighboring clouds  $R_s \approx 2.2$  kpc. For the volumetric power  $L$  of CMB energy generation, the value  $L \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-31} \text{ W/m}^3$  (29) is obtained, while the generation power per nucleon of a typical gas-dust cloud, as well as per nucleon in the early Universe, is equal to  $\frac{L}{n_b} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-30} \text{ W/nucleon}$  (30).

We can conclude that the CMB originated as thermal radiation from primordial gas-dust clouds. This thermal radiation could interact with a large number of particles in each cloud, which,

in view of the slowly changing equilibrium state of the clouds and their opacity and weak reflection, provides the CMB radiation spectrum close to that of blackbody radiation. In addition, CMB radiation coming from very large distances passes through many separate CMB sources in the form of gas clouds on its way to Earth. This further contributes to the transformation of the CMB spectrum into a blackbody spectrum.

As indicated in Section 6 with reference to [30], the angular harmonics in the CMB power spectrum can be explained if we take into account matter clustering near the surface of primordial gas-dust clouds. This possibility is supported by the presence of both small and large dust particles observed in Bok globules, leading to significant polarization of radiation in the millimeter range [67-69] up to values on the order of 10% or more. In this regard, the degree of polarization of the CMB is also approximately 10%. Thus, the primordial gas-dust clouds responsible for generating the CMB could have evolved into structures resembling globules.

In addition to CMB, the approach under consideration can be applied to infrared background radiation (CIB) and optical background radiation (COB). The estimates made in Section 7 give reason to believe that the CIB emission could have originated in protoplanetary clouds, while the contribution to the COB emission was made by primordial stars.

Although the blackbody CMB radiation coming to the Earth from all directions has an intensity  $I = \sigma T^4$ , this does not mean that the ubiquitous occurrence of CMB energy density in cosmic space is equal to

$$u = \frac{4\sigma T^4}{c} = 4.17 \times 10^{-14} \text{ J/m}^3, \text{ similar to}$$

blackbody radiation. As shown in (33), the average CMB energy density in the Universe should equal  $\bar{u} = 1.4 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/m}^3$ . This happens because the CMB is radiation that is not in equilibrium with the global blackbody. Indeed, all CMB sources cannot form a closed surface entirely surrounding the radiation of the Universe, which is a necessary condition for the

validity of the formula  $u = \frac{4\sigma T^4}{c}$ . The same applies to CIB radiation and COB radiation, which are also nonequilibrium.

Due to the difference between the energy density  $\bar{u}$  and the energy density  $u_s$  (20) of blackbody radiation, it becomes possible to move away from the model of the hot expanding Universe. It follows from this model that the CMB energy density is equal to  $u$  everywhere, so that the ratio of the number of photons to the number of nucleons is equal to  $\frac{n_f}{n_b} = \frac{n_f m_p}{\rho_b} = 3.3 \times 10^9$ , which is close in

magnitude to the ratio of volumes  $\frac{V}{V_s}$  in (34). In

our approach, the energy density  $\bar{u}$  corresponds to the condition of practically the same number

of photons and nucleons, eliminating the problem of excess photons and removing the need to introduce the hot Universe model.

## Statements and declarations

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

## Data availability

The data underlying this article are provided in the text and in the online supplementary material.

## References

- [1] Flandern, T.V., *Apeiron*, 9 (2) (2002) 72.
- [2] Narlikar, J.V., Burbidge, G., and Vishwakarma, R.G., *J. Astrophys. Astron.*, 28 (2007) 67.
- [3] Gupta, S.N.P., *J. Astrophys. Aerosp. Technol.*, 4 (1) (2016) 128.
- [4] Fedosin, S.G., *Galil. Electrodyn.*, 23 (1) (2012) 3.
- [5] Millette, P.A., *Lett. Prog. Phys.*, 17 (2) (2021) 216.
- [6] Burbidge, G. and Hoyle, F., *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 509 (1998) L1.
- [7] Hill, R., Masui, K.W., and Scott, D., *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 72 (5) (2018) 663.
- [8] Driver, S.P., *arXiv:2102.12089*.
- [9] Fedosin, S.G., *Int. Front. Sci. Lett.*, 14 (2019) 19.
- [10] Fedosin, S.G., *Can. J. Pure Appl. Sci.*, 15 (1) (2021) 5125.
- [11] Ashmore, L., *Vigier 11 Conference*, Liege, Belgium, August (2018).
- [12] Ashmore, L.A., *J. High Energy Phys., Gravitation Cosm.*, 2 (4) (2016) 70089.
- [13] Lerner, E.J., *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 477 (2018) 3185.
- [14] Lerner, E.J., Falomo, R., and Scarpa, R., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 23 (6) (2014) 1450058.
- [15] Fedosin, S., "The Physical Theories and Infinite Hierarchical Nesting of Matter", Vol. 1, (LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2014), 580, ISBN 978-3-659-57301-9.
- [16] Hinshaw, G.F. et al., *ApJS*, 208 (2) (2013) 19H.
- [17] Oldershaw, R.L., *Astrophys. J.*, 322 (1987) 34.
- [18] Oldershaw, R.L., *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 28 (6) (1989) 669.
- [19] Oldershaw, R.L., *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 28 (12) (1989) 1503.
- [20] Oldershaw, R.L., *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 189 (1992) 163.
- [21] Fedosin, S.G., *Turk. J. Phys.*, 36 (3) (2012) 461.
- [22] Shklovsky, I.S., "Stars: Their Birth, Life, Death". (San Francisco, 1978), ISBN 0-7167-0024-7.
- [23] Kolb, E. and Turner, M., "The Early Universe". (Addison-Wesley, 1988), ISBN 978-0-201-11604-5.
- [24] Fedosin, S.G., *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.*, 29 (2) (2017) 361.
- [25] Fedosin, S.G., *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.*, 31 (3) (2019) 627.
- [26] Fedosin, S.G., *Middle East J. Sci.*, 5 (1) (2019) 46.
- [27] Sievers, J.L. et al., *Astrophys. J.*, 591 (2) (2003) 599.
- [28] Hu, W. and Dodelson, S., *Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 40 (2002) 1.

- [29] Zyla, P.A. et al., (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 2020 (8) (2020) 083C01.
- [30] Červinka, L., J. Mod. Phys., 2 (11) (2011) 1331.
- [31] Jeans, J.H., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A, 199 (1902) 1.
- [32] Cabré, A., Gaztañaga, E., Manera, M., Fosalba, P., and Castander, F., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.: Lett., 372 (1) (2006) L23.
- [33] Pietrobon, D., Balbi, A., and Marinucci, D., Phys. Rev. D, 74 (2006) 043524.
- [34] Cruz, M., Martinez-Gonzalez, E., Vielva, P., and Cayon, L., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 356 (1) (2005) 29.
- [35] Mackenzie, R. et al., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 470 (2) (2017) 2328.
- [36] Kopylov, A.I. and Kopylova, F.G., Astron. Astrophys., 382 (2) (2002) 389.
- [37] Schwarz, D.J. et al., Class. Quantum Grav., 33 (18) (2016) 184001.
- [38] Marov, M.Y., “The Structure of the Universe”, In: “The Fundamentals of Modern Astrophysics”, (2015), pp. 279-294, ISBN 978-1-4614-8729-6.
- [39] Maniyar, A.S., Lagache, G., Béthermin, M., and Ilić, S., A&A, 621 (2019) A32.
- [40] Debono, I. and Smoot, G.F., Universe, 2 (4) (2016) 23.
- [41] Di Valentino, E. et al., Class. Quantum Grav., 38 (15) (2021) 153001.
- [42] Fedosin, S.G., Phys. Sci. Int. J., 8 (4) (2015) 1.
- [43] Fedosin, S.G., WSEAS Trans. Appl. Theor. Mech., 10 (3) (2015) 31.
- [44] Fedosin, S.G., J. Fundam. Appl. Sci., 8 (3) (2016) 971.
- [45] Fedosin, S.G., J. Fundam. Appl. Sci., 9 (1) (2017) 411.
- [46] Fedosin, S.G., Jordan J. Phys., 17 (1) (2024) 87.
- [47] Suzuki, T.L. et al., Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn., 71 (4) (2019) 69.
- [48] Clowe, D. et al., Astrophys. J., 648 (2) (2006) L109.
- [49] Beichman, C.A. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 278 (1984) 145.
- [50] Beichman, C.A. et al., Astrophys. J., 307 (1986) 337.
- [51] Keene, J. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 274 (1983) 143.
- [52] Clemens, D.P., Yun, J.L., and Heyer, M.H., Astrophys. J. Suppl., 75 (1991) 877.
- [53] Riechers, D. et al., Nature, 602 (2022) 58.
- [54] Lineweaver, C. and Davis, T.M., Sci. Am., 292 (3) (2005) 36.
- [55] Oesch, P.A. et al., Astrophys. J., 819 (2) (2016) 129.
- [56] Qadir, A., Tahir, N., and Sakhi, M., Phys. Rev. D, 100 (4) (2019) 043028.
- [57] Tahir, N. et al., Eur. Phys. J. C., 81 (2021) 827.
- [58] Kogut, A. et al., Astrophys. J., 419 (1993) 1.
- [59] Fedosin, S.G., Can. J. Phys., 93 (11) (2015) 1335.
- [60] Fedosin, S.G., Int. Lett. Chem. Phys. Astron., 78 (2018) 39.
- [61] Baryshev, Y., AIP Conf. Proc., 822 (2006) 23.
- [62] Asencio, E., Banik, I., and Kroupa, P., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 500 (4) (2021) 5249.
- [63] Lee, J.H. et al., Astrophys. J., 884 (2) (2019) 104.
- [64] Salehi, A., Yarahmadi, M., and Fathi, S., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 504 (1) (2021) 1304.
- [65] Wang, P. et al., Nat. Astron., 5 (2021) 839.
- [66] Crawford, D.F., Open Astron., 26 (1) (2017) 111.
- [67] Ward-Thompson, D., Kirk, J.M., Crutcher, R.M., Greaves, J.S., Holland, W.S., and Andre, P., Astrophys. J., 537 (2000) L135.
- [68] Matthews, B.C. and Wilson, C.D., Astrophys. J., 574 (2002) 822.
- [69] Zielinski, N., Wolf, S., and Brunngräber, R., A&A, 645 (2021) A125.